

**CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**  
**Regional Plan Committee**

**Draft Minutes**

**Monday November 19, 2019**

**3:30 – 5:15 pm**

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission  
29 Main Street, Suite 4, Montpelier, VT 05602

**Committee Members:**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Dara Torre, Chair
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Julie Potter, Vice Chair
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Julie Potter
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Ron Krauth, via conference call
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Marcella Dent

1  
1 Staff: Clare Rock

2  
3 **CALL TO ORDER**

4 J Potter opened the meeting at 3:38pm.  
5 Welcome and Introductions and welcome to our new board member, Marcella.  
6 D Torre arrived and chaired the meeting.

7  
8 **CHANGES TO THE AGENDA**

9 L Hill-Eubanks asked if people wanted to revisit the meeting time.  
10 Discussion to have start time at 4pm and end 5:20pm and keeping the same meeting dates. Next  
11 meeting date 12/17 at 4 pm.

12  
13 **Approval of Minutes**

14  
15 Committee members requested staff make available four (4) hard copies of the packet for members at  
16 the meeting.

17  
18 J Potter made a motion to approve the October 21, 2019 meeting minutes, seconded by R Krauth. All in  
19 favor. Motioned carried.

20  
21 **Regional Plan: Possible Amendments to Current Plan**

22 a. J Potter suggested a new introduction paragraph in the Housing Element which acknowledges  
23 that the data in the chapter wasn't changed... "the 2019 amendments to the Housing Element  
24 removed discussion of the 2006 Regional Housing Distribution Plan, which has been  
25 discontinued. Data presented in this element have not been updated; data will be updated in  
26 the next iteration of the plan." Committee members agreed to a new intro statement be added.

27  
28 Additional discussion included the need to revise statements such as "...current Census..." and  
29 revise to be "... 2000 Census..." Discussion about weather a population forecast range should  
30 be used rather than specific numbers. Committee agreed this would be suited to for the new  
31 plan rather than making that type of revision to this amendment.

- 1
- 2 The committee discussed changes on the following pages of the packet:
- 3 Page 18
- 4 Page 19
- 5 Page 28
- 6 Pahe 28
- 7 Page 32
- 8 Page 24

9

10 Next steps, staff will make the proposed changes to an official marked up version of the documents for  
11 committee review and approval.

12

13 b. Revision to the Future Land use Map

14

15 Staff presented the CV Land Use Plan and the comparison to the City of Montpelier’s Growth Center  
16 boundary. The areas do not align. The Growth Center area extends beyond the Regional Center area and  
17 into areas which are regionally designed as “Rural.” This may present a conflict if and when an Act 250  
18 application is presented for the area which falls inside the Cities Growth Center and not in the Regional  
19 Center. Instead it would fall in the regional “Rural” area. Discussion continued about the various  
20 definitions. The CVRPC is supportive of making the changes as CVRPC is supportive of Montpelier’s  
21 growth activities based upon their planning efforts (municipal plan, growth center planning, TIF).  
22 Discussion followed about other areas of the land use plan, such as the area which falls between  
23 Northfield Village and Northfield Village. The committee also discussed the description of the regional  
24 Center as contained within the text of the Regional Plan. As there are no looming development proposal  
25 for this area there is generally no urgency to make other changes to the map. The committee requested  
26 staff should ask Waterbury, and Barre City if there are any potential development proposals which  
27 would conflict with the regional land use map.

28

29 The Committee directed staff to edit the GIS shape file for the Regional Center to align with the growth  
30 center for Montpelier and propose a new boundary for regional land use map. This will be reviewed by  
31 the committee at the next meeting.

32

33

34 c. Preferred Sites – this discussion and other energy related changes would be best suited for the  
35 New Plan and no changes as part of this amendment.

36

37

38 **ADJOURNMENT**

39

40 *R Krauth made a motion to adjourn, seconded by L Hill-Eubanks, all in favor. Motion carried.*

41

42

43 **Next meeting Date: 12/17 at 4 pm.**

44