Clean Water Advisory Committee
Meeting notes
March 12, 2020
Page 1 of 3

## 1 CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION 2 **CLEAN WATER ADVISORY COMMITTEE** 3 March 12, 2020 4 A meeting of the Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission's Clean Water Advisory 5 6 Committee was held on March 12, 2020 in the Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission 7 Office. 8 9 Committee Members Present: Amy Hornblas – CWAC Chair, Cabot/Board of Commissioners 10 Larry Becker- Middlesex Conservation Commission 11 Dona Bate – Montpelier City Council 12 Rich Turner – Williamstown Planning Commission/Board of Commissioners 13 John Hoogenboom – Moretown Selectboard (on phone) 14 15 Joyce Manchester – Moretown TAC (on phone) 16 17 Committee Members Absent: Ron Krauth – Middlesex/Board of Commissioners 18 Stewart Clark – Worcester Planning Commission 19 John Brabant – Calais/Board of Commissioners 20 21 Russ Barrett – Northfield Conservation Commission Michele Braun – Friends of Winooski River 22 23 Karen Bates – ANR 24 Corrie Miller – Friends of the Mad River 25 Brian Shupe – Friends of the Mad River Gianna Petito - Winooski Natural Resources Conservation District 26 27 Others Present: 28 29 CVRPC Staff: Pam DeAndrea (by phone) & Grace Vinson 30 **CALL TO ORDER** 31 Amy Hornblas called the meeting to order at 4:04 PM. 32 33 **PUBLIC COMMENTS** 34 None. 35 36 37 CHANGES OR AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA None. 38 APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 13 MINUTES 39 Postponed due to lack of quorum. 40 41 CWAC LETTER STAFF REVISION - Amy Hornblas, Chair and Pam DeAndrea 42 Members said they liked the new format. Pam said she basically took the group's ideas and 43

framed the letter by topic; within the topics provided recommendations, and got rid of

44

"concerns" to put the letter in a more positive light. Members went oer each topic listed to see if they were any questions.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8 9

1

Discussion of Municipal Roads General Permit (topic #1 under Policies and Regulation category): Members discussed that some towns think requirements and maintenance are too expensive when compared to the payoff, and it's difficult to assess whether it's working. John suggested that one recommendation could be to give towns more leeway with which road segments should be improved and when they need to be done. Members said another recommendation could be that the state should reassess permit requirements based on effectiveness of the road BMPs.

10 11 12

13

14

**Discussion of the clean water funding topic**: Joyce suggested that funding "based on the phosphorus loading by sector and" be removed. Discussion of whether to include this topic in the letter. Amy made motion to cut the clean water funding topic. John seconded. Motion carried. MOTION IS NOT VALID DUE TO A QUORUM NOT PRESENT.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

Discussion of forestry cutting regulations (topic #2 under Policies and Regulations): John suggested editing to remove "indiscriminate" because all forest cutting has water quality impacts. There was discussion of reducing the threshold for intent to cut notifications from 40 acres, Joyce suggested that the threshold be the current use threshold. John suggested editing to say "require AMPs on all tree cutting." Rich pointed out that enforcement on less than 40 acres could be difficult, but the Department of Forest Parks and Recreation could promote their landowner education and outreach efforts. Amy and John suggested edited to say "forestry heavy cutting regulations are not strong enough."

24 25 26

Discussion of small farms (topic #3 under Policies and Regulations): Rich suggested editing "shift to from" to "shift from".

27 28 29

30

33 34 Discussion of ground water (topic #2 under water quality monitoring): discussion of Jon Kim's study on phosphorus and ground water in Lake Carmi. Rich suggested changing the format to be more structured, saying "1A" for example.

31 32

> Dona made motion to allow Pam to edit letter as amended and send to Commissioners for consideration. Larry seconded. Joyce abstained. Motion carried. MOTION IS NOT VALID AS THERE WAS NOT A QUORUM.

35 36 37

## CLEAN WATER SERVICE PROVIDER FINAL RFP COMMENTS/QUESTIONS

Pam asked members if they had feedback/comments on the RFP. She noted that there is a 38 meeting scheduled to meet with the Winooski Basin partners on March 25, 2020 at 10am at the 39 Waterbury Town Offices, and there will be options to join remotely. CVPRC, Chittenden County 40 Regional Planning Commission (CCRPC), and Winooski Natural Resources Conservation 41 District (NRCD) could be potential providers. The purpose of the meeting is to see who would 42 want to be the provider for the Basin and who may want to serve on the Basin Water Quality 43 Council. CCRPC Water Quality planner and Gianna Petito from the Winooski NRCD will be at 44 the meeting- they are part of a guidance group that meets with DEC to hash out aspects of how 45

the program will work. The March 25 meeting is a first step to meet the partners and talk about 46

Clean Water Advisory Committee Meeting notes March 12, 2020 Page 3 of 3

who would be on the Water Quality Council, then CVRPC will present the proposal before the

2 Executive Committee.

3

4 Larry pointed out that 15 points of the selection criteria is for "ability to ensure development,

5 implementation, operation and maintenance", and wondered what the consequence for not

meeting targets set for each basin would be. There was discussion of the current operations and

maintenance process which is between the towns and the state, and how this RFP changes that.

7 8 9

6

## OTHER ANNOUNCEMENTS

Rich announced he had been doing work with Gianna Petito on the emerald ash borer and joined

the Winooski NRCD Board, so can bring information back to CWAC.

12 13

## **SCHEDULE**

Pam asked if the group was opposed to taking July and August off. Members were supportive.

Next meeting is scheduled for April 9.

16

17 Meeting adjourned at 5:46 pm.

