1 2	CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION CLEAN WATER ADVISORY COMMITTEE
3 4	JUNE 14, 2018 Meeting Notes
5	Treeting 1 (otes
6	A meeting of the Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission's Clean Water Advisory Committee
7	was held on Thursday, June 14, 2018 in the Conference Room of the Central Vermont Regional Planning
8	Commission.
9	
LO	Committee Members Present:
l1	Karen Bates – ANR
L2 L3	Dona Bate – Montpelier City Council
L3 L4	Russ Barrett – Northfield Conservation Commission
L4 L5	Michael Gray – Woodbury/ Board of Commissioners
L6	John Hoogenboom – Moretown Selectboard
L7	John Brabant – Calais/Board of Commissioners
L8	Larry Becker- Middlesex Conservation Commission
19	Stewart Clark – Worcester Planning Commission
20	Brian Shupe – Friends of Mad River
21	Amy Hornblas – Cabot/Board of Commissioners
22	
23	Committee Members Absent:
24	Michele Braun – Friends of Winooski River
25	Corrina Parnapy – Winooski Natural Resources Conservation District
26	Ron Krauth – Middlesex/ Board of Commissioners
27	
28	Others Present:
29	None
30	
31	CALL TO ORDER
32	Pam DeAndrea called the meeting to order at 4:05 PM.
33	CHANCES OF AMENDMENTS TO THE ACENDA
34 35	CHANGES OR AMENDMENTS TO THE AGENDA
36	Add in review of tables to Basin plan review comments discussion.
37	Add in leview of tubies to Bushi plan leview comments discussion.
38	PUBLIC COMMENTS
39	
10	No members of the public were present.
11	
12	APPROVAL OF MAY 10 MINUTESs
13	Changes were made to the following lines as indicated in the draft circulated to the CWAC. The
14	amendments listed below were made based on discussion. Stew moved to approve minutes with
15	suggested changes. Larry seconded.
16	
17	Page 2, lines 3& 4: add "The scope of the "Tactical" Plan is the entire Winooski Basin, within and
18	beyond the area served by the CVRPC."
19 50	Page 2, line 18: added the text to clarify which objective was being referenced in Stewart's comment: "as described in TBP top objective #11"

- Page 2, line 24: reworded lines 24 and 25 to be clearer on gullies: "Landslide and gullies: don't fit into
- 2 implementation categories nicely. Request from this group before it would be funded what is the state
- 3 of a particular gully? Does ANR fund it or is it too big?"
- 4 Page 2, lines 29 and 30: reworded to be clearer on reference to lakeshore habitats: "Stewart Can we
- 5 look at why we are looking at the Lake Champlain lakeshore when the Winooski Basin (the mouth of
- 6 the Winooski River) forms such a small part of Lake Champlain coastline?"

7 8

BASIN PLAN COMMENTS

- 9 Purpose for this meeting for comments: go through objectives. Pam will compile comments from everyone on CWAC and send them to Karen.
- We also want to look through implementation table and make sure we are not missing anything.
- In Karen's email regarding guidance to review tables, Table 13 should have been listed as Table 11.

13 14

John B. – is the agency dealing with glyphosate? Karen will reach out to ag folks to find out how we can do more with incorporating this.

15 16 17

18

19

- Larry: The stream equilibrium tracking method does not make it clear how phosphorus loading is linked. The stated reasons for instability are not explained as they connect to dynamic equilibrium. Please make clearer and more understandable for audience. The statement: "It has been documented that under theses
- 20 ideal geomorphic conditions, we see significant capture and storage of fine sediment and phosphorous"
- 21 is not referenced. Without a reference how do we know this is a defensible statement?

22 23

Stew: Table of contents and other areas refer to "Basin 8". Please define Basin 8 early on or just use Winooski Basin within the Plan. Along the same lines, the "303d list" should be defined.

24 25

Objectives: Karen reviewed them with the members of the group: protect river corridor protection and floodplain restoration were discussed. Stew asked if channel straightening and dredging will be included as something that is not the best way to manage rivers. Karen said that would be addressed later in the

29 document.

30 31

Larry – Is no till recommended? Karen responded that the plan does not necessarily recommend it but that it would be recommended through the NRCS.

32 33 34

Brian- shouldn't we have language in here that RAPs should be followed? Maybe a general comment that the ANR can provide technical assistant for the adherence to water quality regulations.

35 36 37

38

39

John B. – Are other agencies that are doing other initiatives for farms being mentioned in the plan? A major concern in East Montpelier and Calais is more pesticides being applied on farms as they acquire more fields to farm that used to be just hay fields. Streams in area should be monitored for pesticides – baseline monitoring. KB response – they can ask pesticide monitoring in the DEC.

40 41

Larry - stated that Baseline Monitoring can be an objective on page x and xi in the Executive Summary

42 43 44

The group discussed E. Coli in waters. Karen mentioned that watershed organizations volunteers have helped considerably - maybe LCCD or other district could do some monitoring if asked.

45 46

- 1 Karen also mentioned Great Brook in Middlesex needing some assessment of stormwater. Pam said that
- 2 Middlesex could be lumped that into a SWMP with Waterbury and that the conservation commission is
- 3 interested as is Waterbury. Pam will email Stew information on it to possibly include Worcester in the
- 4 plan.

5

- 6 Other priorities:
- 7 Lakes littoral zone. Strategies could include working with lake associations by connecting them with
- 8 Lake Wise program. Get addresses from town clerks? Michael was not sure how to get in touch with
 - them. That can be a strategy of how to contact lakeshore landowners.

9 10 11

- Road erosion inventories MRGP addresses what gets prioritized. Not sure how to fund the more
- expensive projects yet. Prioritization will be done though the inventory process in a sense since those
- roads that do not meet standards get identified.

14

- John B. is silviculture addressed? Karen responded yes. Brian mentioned that sugarbush operations
- are falling through the cracks on following the AMPS since they can fall under the agriculture category.
- 17 Karen will look into that more.

18

- 19 Brian There should be an objective on private roads. John H. indicated that Storm Smart program
 - within the Mad River Watershed is a great program to help with private road assessment and fixing.

20 21

- 22 For towns outside of the Mad River Valley, Karen suggested to reach out to FWR for a private road
- workshop. Class 4 roads make them a trail may be a solution sometimes. ANR can provide grants for
- 24 groups like VYCC to do the work. Can put strategies in the plan for towns to deal with Class 4 roads.
- 25 Stew- should address both planning commission and selectboard for outreach, conservation and road
- crews on strategies for Class 4 roads.

2728

Wetland protection: Phosphorus reduction and flood resiliency also means protection of upper wetlands.

29 30

Tables: Karen will send out again with town names and what you would like them to review specifically.

313233

LANDSLIDE/GULLY FUNDING PRIORITIZATION

34 35

- Karen went over handout on criteria for landslide/gully restoration projects. Landslides will be put in
- the plan but gullies are more of a priority to fix. Landslides will not be funded that are along streams.
- 37 Stew would tallis slopes be included? No since they are not causing water quality problems.
- Larry does it fit into TMDL? Is so, then shouldn't it be included? We can address the lake without
- 39 addressing the gullies.
- 40 Karen Bates- When there are projects that propose sediment basins that need to be cleaned out regularly
- and it is unlikely that they will be cleaned out, Karen would prefer to not fund those: the reason is why
- have a sediment basin at the bottom if there is no one to clean it out?
- 43 Stew- landslides after Irene. Karen what would it take to restore them? She also mentioned that we
- can't fix everything and we are not supposed to.

45 46

TOPICS FOR NEXT MEETING

47 Basin Plan Tables

- 1 Possible projects for Fall ERP grant applications
- 3 SCHEDULE 4

2

5 Thursdays: Next meeting July 12, 2018.