1	CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
2	Regional Plan Committee
3	Minutes
4	July 16, 2018
5	
6	Present:
	🗷 Laura Hill-Eubanks, Chair 🛛 🗵 Julie Potter 🗆 Ron Krauth
	E Dara Torre, Vice Chair E Kirby Keeton
7	
8	Staff: Bonnie Waninger
9	Guests: John Brabant, Calais Commissioners
10	D. Maninger collect the meeting to ender at 4:02 pm. Overviewer was present to conduct business
11 12	B. Waninger called the meeting to order at 4:03 pm. Quorum was present to conduct business.
12	Adjustments to the Agenda
13	None.
15	
16	Public Comment
17	None.
18	
19	Elections
20	J. Potter nominated L. Hill-Eubanks as Chair; K. Keeton seconded. No additional nominations were made,
21	and Waninger closed nominations. The Committee elected L. Hill-Eubanks as Chair.
22	
23	J. Potter nominated D. Torre as Vice Chair; K. Keeton seconded. No additional nominations were made,
24 25	and the Chair closed nominations. The Committee elected D. Torre as Vice Chair.
25 26	Dreferred Site Decignation
20 27	Preferred Site Designation Waninger provided a presentation to establish a shared understanding among Committee members.
28	She:
29	 discussed New England's energy profile and Vermont's approach to 90x50,
30	 reviewed the electric energy supply pipeline,
31	 discussed private and public activities for energy siting,
32	 reviewed underlying assumptions,
33	 discussed siting considerations and challenges, and
34	• reviewed the Committee's role.
35	
36	She asked the Committee to consider five questions:
37	

1	• Where does the Commission reside on the spectrum of deferring decisions to municipalities and
2	establishing regional preferred locations?
3	• Does the scale of development (residential, commercial, utility) matter and, if so, how?
4	• Will CVRPC treat all technologies the same? If not, is the treatment based on impact?
5	Will CVRPC consider cumulative impacts and, if so, how?
6	• To what extent should community and municipal (and developer?) engagement occur during
7	this process versus when a project is proposed?
8	
9	Where does the Commission reside on the spectrum of deferring decisions to municipalities and
10	establishing regional preferred locations?
11 12	The Committee suggested the Commission would defer to municipal preferred siting decisions when:
13	 the municipality used a robust public engagement process when making preferred siting
14	decisions.
15	 the municipality had an approved [24 V.S.A. § 4350(b)(1)] and certified (Act 174) plan.
16	• the affected transmission and distribution utilities (Washington Electric Cooperative/Green
17	Mountain Power/VELCO) had been engaged in the decision process. Ex. Transmission is
18	adequate or energy storage is addressed.
19	 power users are located on the same site as power generator.
20	
21	Does the scale of development (residential, commercial, utility) matter and, if so, how?
22	The Committee agreed that scale mattered. The Committee discussed scale in relation to regional
23	impact. It directed staff to research this issue and provide a de minimis-level recommendation at the
24	next meeting.
25	
26	Will CVRPC treat all technologies the same? If not, is the treatment based on impact?
27	The Committee did not address this questions.
28	
29	Will CVRPC consider cumulative impacts and, if so, how?
30	The Committee recommended that CVRPC consider cumulative impacts.
31	
32	To what extent should community and municipal (and developer?) engagement occur during this process
33	versus when a project is proposed?
34	The Committee recommended engagement occur during energy plan development and for proposed
35	projects.
36	
37	Meeting Minutes
38	J. Potter moved to approve the April 20, 2018 meeting minutes as presented; D. Torre seconded. Motion
39	carried.
40	
41	

1 Meetings

- 2 The Committee did not set a regular meeting date. The next Committee meeting was scheduled for
- 3 Wednesday, August 29 at 4pm.
- 4

6

7

- 5 The Committee requested that staff develop documentation:
 - outlining components of a municipal process that might be required by CVRPC for preferred site designation deference.
 - regarding when and how CVRPC would weigh in on preferred site designation.
- 8 9
- 10 The Committee requested staff contact Rick Weston, Director of Policy at the Regulatory Assistance
- 11 Project (RAP). RAP is an independent, non-partisan, non-governmental organization dedicated to
- 12 accelerating the transition to a clean, reliable, and efficient energy future. The Committee would
- 13 appreciate a discussion with Weston on community planning opportunities and issues for energy and
- 14 siting.

1516 Adjourn

17 J. Potter moved to adjourn at 6:00 pm; K. Keeton seconded. Motion carried.