A	op	r	ov	ed:					

	CEN'	TRAL VERMONT REGIC	NALI	PLANNING CC	OMMISSION							
		DRAFT	MIN	UTES								
	October 9, 2018											
Com	missioners:											
	Barre City Barre Town Berlin	Janet Shatney Heather Grandfield, Alt. Byron Atwood Mark Nicholson, Alt. Robert Wernecke	X	Moretown Northfield Orange Plainfield	Dara Torre, Secretary Joyce Manchester, Alt Laura Hill-Eubanks, Vice-Chair Lee Cattaneo Bram Towbin							
×		Karla Nuissl, Alt.			Paula Emery, Alt.							
□ ×	Cabot Calais	Amy Hornblas John Brabant Jan Ohlsson, Alt.		Roxbury Waitsfield	Jerry D'Amico Don La Haye Harrison Snapp, Alt.							
×	Duxbury E. Montpelier	Alan Quackenbush Julie Potter, Chair Jack Pauly, Alt.		Warren Washington Waterbury	Camilla Behn Peter Carbee Steve Lotspeich							
□ ×	Fayston Marshfield	Karl Klein Melissa Seifert		Williamstown Williamstown	Richard Turner Jacqueline Higgins, Alt.							
× ×	Middlesex Montpelier	Ron Krauth Kirby Keeton Mike Miller, Alt.	×	Woodbury Worcester	Michael Gray, Treasurer Bill Arrand							
Gues	sts: Karen Bates	ger, Nancy Chartrand, Clare R , VT DEC; Danielle Owczarski, e meeting to order at 7:04 C	VT DEC	C; Lane Durkee, Q								
_	USTMENTS TO THE ch order of the to	HE AGENDA wo basin plans. White River f	irst – tł	nen Winooski.								
PUB Non	LIC COMMENTS e.											
J. Po	tter gave an ove	PROVAL & CONFIRMATION In the process to review and planning process.			nd Berlin Town Plan							
B. Aı		d the Town Plan Review Com eeting with two attendees fro			•							
I Po	tter directed Bos	ard to the two memos that w	ara can	t out to the Board	I prior to the meeting							

outlining both town plans; and inquired of C. Rock if she had anything to add. C. Rock advised that there

29

1 were no comments specific to Marshfield, however, the Committee recognized overall a trending of 2 populations that are declining, and a need to be looking at different strategies about addressing this 3 within plans.

4 5

6

M. Seifert noted that there is 80% conserved land in Marshfield which presents a challenge to balancing growth with conservation. She also noted the Town is looking to amend their Zoning Regulations which will be their next order of business.

7 8 9

10

B. Arrand advised Town Plan Review Committee (TPRC) recommends the approval of the Marshfield Town Plan. J. Potter advised three actions that needed to be taken: Approve the plan; confirm the planning process; and approve signature of the resolution in the Board Packet.

11 12 13

L. Catteneo moved to approve the 2018 Marshfield Town Plan. Seconded by R. Krauth. Motion carried.

14 15

R. Wernecke moved to confirm the planning process for the Town of Marshfield. Seconded by J. Shatney. Motion carried.

16 17 18

D. La Haye moved to approve the resolution in the Board Packet. Seconded by R. Wernecke. Motion carried.

19 20 21

B. Arrand congratulated Marshfield.

22 23

24

25

26

Berlin:

B. Arrand advised the TPRC hearing was held on 10/4 to review the Berlin plan with 4 attendees from the Town of Berlin. He noted it was a little unusual in that staff had signed off on most of the plan, but there was a glitch due to a couple of items that needed to be appropriately addressed; therefore, discussion at the hearing ensued regarding how to address the issues.

27 28 29

As a result, it was noted that CVRPC needed to know the town was making progress towards their goals of zoning which make it easier to have childcare and educational facilities addressed better.

30 31 32

33

34

TPRC indicated they would provisionally recommend approval of the town plan provided the town provide additional baseline data by close of business on 10/9/18. This data has been received and there is sufficient baseline information within the document received to compare to what the Town may come up with in the future town plan of 2022.

35 36 37

TPRC recommends approval of the Berlin Town Plan given that the requested baseline data was provided by the deadline.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

48

- J. Potter advised all the information was outlined in the memo provided to the Board. She advised that state statute requires a four-year check-in now that there are eight years between Town Plans. B. Towbin inquired if R. Wernecke wanted to discuss any of the issues in the plan. K. Nuissl advised a consultant developed the plan which was clear and concise addressing town center, infrastructure issues, etc., however the town had not addressed all available opportunities with regard to childcare and educational facilities. R. Wernecke confirmed the plan included the necessary goals/policies, but did not include the baseline data. It is information that is readily available and changes annually. B. Arrand confirmed baseline figures received on 10/9 would not be put into the plan per se, but would be
- 47

kept on file.

5

6

7

8 9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19 20

21 22 23

24 25

26 27 28

29 30

31 32 33

34

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission **Meeting Minutes**

October 9, 2018 Page 3 of 6

J. Potter outlined the four actions that needed to be addressed: approve the town plan; confirm the planning process; direct staff to write a letter to the town stating the need to document progress; approve signing of resolution in the packet.

B. Towbin moved to approve the 2018 Berlin Town Plan. Seconded by R. Turner. Motion carried.

S. Lotspeich moved to confirm the planning process for the Town of Berlin. Seconded by R. Krauth. Motion carried.

J. Potter inquired if there was a motion to direct staff to write a letter to the Town of Berlin stating that the Town will need to document progress on meeting education and childcare goals in the Town Plan for the Commission to confirm the Town's planning process in 2022. So moved by D. Torre. Seconded by B. Arrand. Motion carried.

- R. Wernecke moved to approve the signing of the resolution in the packet. Seconded by J. Shatney. Motion carried.
- J. Potter noted review and approval of municipal plans is a core and important function of the RPC and as statute gets more complicated and legislature adds additional requirements to be included in town plans, staff is always available to answer questions and provide guidance. Once a plan starts moving through the hearings it gets difficult to make any substantial changes, so she advises it is not a requirement to ask for RPC staff to review, but that it can be very helpful to get their input to ensure everything needed to be within the plan is there.
- J. Potter congratulated Berlin.

WHITE RIVER TACTICAL BASIN PLAN J. Potter advised that RPCs have been directed to provide feedback on state plans as a regional voice.

Board must decide if they wish to approve the recommended comments on the basin plans as outlined in the Board packet.

Danielle Owczarski, DEC lead on White River Tactical Basin Plan provided a detailed presentation addressing what a watershed or basin is; what the purpose of a basin plan is; an overview of White River Watershed; and what the protection and restoration strategies and remediation priorities are within the tactical basin planning process.

There is a five-year process of monitoring and assessment of the watershed, developing funding sources, outreach and awareness of the basin planning process, implementation and tracking of the plan.

Plans are developed with input and feedback from multiple stakeholders and watershed partners (conservation districts, RPCs, Natural Resources staff, Transportation staff, Agriculture staff, etc.). Implementation is by watershed partners, state staff, towns, VNRC, and conservation districts.

J. Potter requested Danielle provide a description of TMDL. TMDL – is total maximum daily load. It is a regulatory cap. For example, a water body can only take so much of a pollutant before it has a negative impact.

P. DeAndrea advised any comments can be submitted directly to her which will be forwarded along to DEC.

J. Potter directed the Board to the memorandum in the packet outlining the process to review the plan by the Clean Water Advisory Committee (CWAC). Comments were developed by CWAC which were then reviewed by the Regional Plan Committee. Also within the packet is a draft letter with the comments to ANR. J. Brabant suggested the last set of comments on Winooski Basin Plan letter should also be added to the White River Basin Plan letter. Discussion ensued that these would be appropriate to add. For reference they are noted below:

The CVRPC Clean Water Advisory Committee (CWAC) conducted a thorough review of the Basin Plan and had some very specific comments sent to the ANR on July 18, 2018. Below are some additional comments included in that document:

 • Toxins related to commercial and industrial pollution should be included in addition to impacts from farms and roads.

• Baseline monitoring should be incorporated into water quality monitoring strategies within the plan.

surface water is influenced by inputs from groundwater, especially nitrates from farms, iron from soil disturbance and metals, and septic failures. The plan should mention this interaction and lay out strategies for reducing surface water contamination from contaminated groundwater.

The interaction between groundwater and surface water is not addressed. The quality of

• The water quality benefit of development limitation of landslide hazard areas should be stated.

 Implementation table should address other stressors such as chloride, mercury, thermal stressors and flow alteration.

Thank you for the opportunity to review the Draft Winooski River Tactical Basin Plan. We look forward to working with ANR on the Plan implementation and other related projects in the future.

S. Lotspeich commented on river corridors in built up areas such as designated town village centers and if plans have recognition of this P. DeAndrea advised they realize that a lot of village development may be within a river corridor and the plan doesn't preclude that development.

J. Brabant moved to approve comments from CVRPC on the Draft White River Tactical Basin Plan with discussed changes/corrections be presented to the Secretary of ANR. Seconded by R. Turner. Motion carried.

WINOOSKI TACTICAL BASIN PLAN

Karen Bates provided a short presentation on the Winooski Tactical Basin Plan. An overview of the Basin Plan was provided and it was noted that Commissioners could go on the website to review in more

detail if they wished. Link included here for reference:

https://dec.vermont.gov/watershed/map/basin-planning/basin8 . She outlined the partners and

1 organizations involved in the development of the plan; as well as the differences and likenesses to the 2 White River Basin as outlined by Danielle. DEC is looking to work with towns to identify the areas as 3 outlined in the Basin Plan within their Local Hazard Mitigation Plans. 4 5 Reviewed were the predominant water resource concerns - sediment /phosphorus; E coli; thermal 6 modification and altered flows. Noted were that many tributaries in Winooski are in good shape and it 7 is important to keep them in good shape to promote cold water fisheries. 8 9 Objectives and strategies were briefly reviewed and are also available in detail on the last table in the 10 plan online. 11 12 It was noted that the public comment period ends the end of October. Comments can be sent direct to 13 Karen Bates. Karen.Bates@vermont.gov 14 15 P. DeAndrea also advised the Board that the CWAC will be meeting on Thursday (10/11) in the Memorial 16 Room of Montpelier City Hall and they are welcome to attend. 17 18 J. Potter directed Board to draft letter in the Board Packet outlining the review of the Plan and the 19 comments to be submitted to ANR. She noted that there was a typo on page 3 of the letter that 20 needed to be addressed for inclusion in both comment letters. 21 D. La Haye moved to approve the CVRPC comments on Draft Winooski River Tactical Basin Plan be 23 presented to the Secretary of ANR. Seconded by S. Lotspeich. B. Towbin noted toxics related to

22

industrial pollution/agricultural spraying are being addressed and felt additional language was not necessary. Motion carried.

and Danielle for coming.

25 26

24

27 28

29 30

MEETING MINUTES 31 J. Potter directed the Board to the minutes within the packet. The following typos were noted for

33 34

32

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48 Nancy Chartrand

R. Wernecke moved to approve the 9/11/18 minutes as amended. Seconded by J. Brabant. Motion

28 - adjacent to.

correction: page 3, line 9 - Waninger; line 25 - the CVEDC event should be Montpelier, not Berlin; line

J. Potter commended staff and CWAC for their thorough review of these plans. She also thanked Karen

carried

REPORTS

J. Potter inquired if there were any updates on staff or committee reports other than what was in the packet. She inquired if anyone had questions about the reports. S. Lotspeich commented on how thorough they are.

ADJOURNMENT D. La Haye moved to adjourn at 8:53 pm; J. Brabant seconded. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

October 9, 2018 Page 5 of 6 1 Office Manager