CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) DRAFT Minutes November 26th, 2019

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Office

Attendees:

1 2

3

4

5

6

	Barre City: Scott Bascom
	Barre Town: Rob White
	Berlin: Robert Wernecke, Vice- Chair
	Cabot: John Cookson
	Calais: David Ellenbogen
Х	Duxbury: Alan Quackenbush
	East Montpelier: Frank Pratt
	Fayston:
Х	Marshfield: Robin Schunk
	Middlesex: Ronald Krauth
Х	Montpelier: Dona Bate
Х	Moretown: Joyce Manchester

Guests:

Steve Lotspeich called the meeting to order at 6:30pm. A quorum of members were no present. Introductions were completed.

Adjustments to the Agenda:

There were no adjustments to the agenda

13 **Public Comments:**

There were no public comments

15 16

17

14

9 10

11

12

Presentation on Transportation Resiliency Planning Tool work in the Stevens Branch Watershed.

- Pam DeAndrea and Daniel Currier presented on the Transportation Resiliency Planning Tool work in the Stevens Branch Watershed.
- 20 Can the model incorporate the rei data that has been collected to help understand the
- 21 locations?
- 22 Do you p,an on working on other watersheds? Yes
- How much is being spent on this project \$30,000
- 24 Is it enough? Yes if not too much.
- 25 How much mitigation occurred after 2011?

26

1 Minutes from October Meeting – Minor edits where made to the minutes. No action was

2 taken.

3

4 Presentation on New Project Prioritization Process

- 5 Dan presented on the new prioritization process.
- 6 80% VTrans, 20% CVRPC
- 7 New vision-performance based, data driven to give it a value
- 8 VPSP2-community and state driven projects will be combined in asset mgt & modernization &
- 9 expansion
- 10 More projects on the community driven side will be able to move forward
- 11 New criteria-environment, resilience, health access
- 12 RPCs will have input into economic access and community criteria
- 13 Will we be able to give input on charging stations?
- 14 Those will be never grant specific grant programs
- 15 Ideas-needs-projects scoping-
- 16 Where did corridor management go? The needs for corridors are going into other projects.
- 17 Will bad ideas get recycled?
- 18 Ranking will get passed onto state and then become a project-PE, ROW, construction
- 19 RPCs will be doing some pilot testing
- 20 Implement no sooner than 2022
- 21 Dan went over sample questionnaire
- Who developed this? RPCs and VTrans developed this together
- 23 Connectivity- should include Airports, and bike routes
- 24 Testing in January at TPI meeting
- 25 Each category is maxed out at a certain level
- 26 Airports may only be useful for those that own a plane
- 27 Airports can be important to more than just private travel
- 28 Could be weighted-more weight for public airports

29 30

Discussion on Updating CVRPC Regional Plan and Transportation Chapter

- 31 Staff is directed to work with regional plan committee on the plan
- 32 Dan shared what they may want to do parts of the plan should have the same format
- 33 What part would the TAC want to play in the development of the plan?
- 34 Steve-In past TAC was really involved-RP committee has a lot to do in a short amount of time;
- 35 great opportunity to review and provide input
- 36 Don-can we have a digital way to get people to meetings?
- 37 Lee- can we have separate meetings given the amount of time it will take?
- 38 Workload?
- 39 Dan- in 2016 there was an update done which condensed 100s of pages down to 40 pages. No
- 40 more space on reg. Plan committee for TAC members. A sub committee could work but more
- 41 frequently than quarterly.
- Dona-would be great if we gave 30 minutes to the regular TAC and can prepared. Could do it at
- 43 6 pm before TAC meeting?
- 44 Steve-does the reg plan committee want us to fill this role? Can we check on that?

- 1 Dan-yes let's ask and offer our services, they will probably be fine with it
- 2 Bob- what are the other aspects of the plan?
- 3 Dan shared to table of contents of the current regional plan
- 4 Joyce-How do we enforce state energy targets?
- 5 We are not regulatory
- 6 Regional plan committee wants things ready in February
- 7 Dan will share the transportation chapter to review for January meeting for working group to
- 8 go over at 6 pm
- 9 New chapter will be implementation
- 10 If there are transportation data we want to include that can go in the appendix

11 12

TAC Member Concerns

- 13 Plainfield info on travel sheet
- 14 Working with GMT To get more info on routes-buses to Health Center and Senior Center so
- they could figure out ridership info and advertise routes
- 16 \$500 grant for the hitching post project-if you need a ride out a flag on the hitching post can
- put change in a cup to give the driver a coffee from cafe
- 18 Route 14 GMT bus started from Hardwick to Montpelier/Barre and meeting the route 2
- 19 commuter at East Montpelier
- 20 Montpelier- encourage people to use new paths and transit center when open
- 21 Next meeting Montpelier path outcomes
- 22 Marshfield-waiting on VTrans; did not approve change in speeds. Documented right in the
- 23 middle of town not at either end which is where the problems are.
- Have been in to touch with engineer on paving job.
- 25 Shoulders were supposed to increase with state roads not decrease
- 26 Should be in paving plan
- 27 Any other concerns?
- 28 Waterbury construction still underway

29

30 Set Agenda for Future TAC Meeting

- 31 Next Meeting is January 28th
- 32 Prioritization possible topic
- 33 Diverging diamond interchange May have someone from VTrans come and give a presentation
- 34 on this project-Colchester
- 35 Regional plan and trans chapter

36

37 Adjourn:

38 The meeting was adjourned at 8:15 pm.