# **CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**

# **Regional Plan Committee**

### **Approved Minutes**

## Monday December 17, 2019

### 4:00 - 5:15 pm

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission 29 Main Street, Suite 4, Montpelier, VT 05602

#### **Committee Members:**

×	Dara Torre, Chair
	Julie Potter, Vice Chair
×	Laura Hill Eubanks
×	Ron Krauth – on phone
×	Marcella Dent

1

- 1 Staff: Clare Rock
- 2

5

### 3 CALL TO ORDER

4 D Torre opened the meeting at 4:05pm.

### 6 CHANGES TO THE AGENDA

7 None.

8

### 9 APPROVAL OF MINUTES

10 Minor corrections identified by R Krauth: correction re word on page 2 line 29. Page 3 line 6.

- 11
- 11 12 M Dent made a motion to approve the November 19, 2019 meeting minutes, with minor changes made\_
- 13 by R Krauth, seconded by L Hill-Eubanks. All in favor. Motioned carried.
- 14

## 15 **REGIONAL PLAN: AMENDMENTS TO CURRENT PLAN**

16 17

a. Housing Section

18

Staff provided an overview of the changes, and referred to the track changes version of the documentwith contains a record of the text changes and a lot of formatting-type changes. The track changes

21 version is available to people if they'd like to see all the changes. The pdf version contained in the packet

- 22 did lose some formatting when it was converted from the word version.
- 23
- Discussion followed about the formatting and what can be done to tidy up some of the minor formatting issues, such as changes in sizes of font and column alignment. Additional comments included:
- Adding a list of past amendments and adoption dates to the cover or first interior page;
- Better alignment of the list of municipalities and respective Commissioners;
- 28 Ensure there are no mid paragraph hyphens; and
- Moving last bullet on page 19 to page 21 so the sentence isn't broken across pages.
- 30
- 31

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	The committee recognized some of the challenges related to formatting due to the age of the original documents and how it has been updated and transferred to different software over time. Committee requested staff do their best in making formatting changes.
8 9	approval, seconded by M Dent, all in favor. Motion carried.
10 11 12	b. Future Land Use Map
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Staff provided an overview of the proposed changes and responded to clarifying questions about the boundary change to the Regional Center designation around Montpelier. Discussion followed regarding the Terrace Street area and the presences of steep slopes. Steep slopes (and other environmental constraints) may exist in the growth center or Regional Center and that any future development in this area would still be required to conform to any regional plan policy, state or local permit requirement. The land use are is the designation of an area in which a particular type/scale and intensity of development is encouraged and additional development standards may apply. In the future, R Krauth suggested the committee should evaluate the presence of constraints in the designation of future land uses. It was also noted that in the materials packet the statement on page 44 regarding "Crestview" should read "an <u>un-</u> developed large tract" (Not "an developed large tract")
24 25 26	<u>M Dent made a motion to recommend the amendment to the Future Land Use Map (with the new</u> <u>proposed CVRPC Regional Center boundary) to the Board for approval, seconded by L Hill-Eubanks, all in</u> <u>favor. Motion carried.</u>
27 28 29	REGIONAL PLAN: NEW PLAN
<ol> <li>29</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> <li>37</li> </ol>	A brief discussion commenced on the new plan. Staff queried the committee on the inclusion of a vision statement for the new plan. A vision can help set a direction for the plan and be used to evaluate proposed policy statements. A vision statement can also highlight the unique aspects of the Central Vermont and this can also be used to guide more meaningful policies and actions. The vision statement as developed in the Plan Central Vermont process was quite generic – it restated the State Planning Goals. The committee agreed it would be a good exercise for the Board to engage in a Vision process for the new plan and this would help people inform people of the process and get buy in at the beginning.
38 39	Staff also recommended not using any personal pronouns in the plan (we, us, them, our) and suggests making the language neutral. Committee discussed this and agreed the plan language should be neutral.
40 41 42	ADJOURNMENT
43 44	L Hill-Eubanks made a motion to adjourn, seconded by M Dent, all in favor. Motion carried.
45 46	Next meeting Date: 1/21 (3 <sup>rd</sup> Tuesdays)