

TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Tuesday, May 28, 2019, 6:30 p.m.

Central VT Chamber of Commerce, Paine Turnpike North, Berlin, VT

(Coming off the interstate at exit 7, turn left at the first light. At the next crossroads, the Chamber is on your left. It is the light yellow building.)

6:15 pm - Social & Pizza

*Action Item

Page	AGENDA				
	6:30	Introductions			
		Adjustments to the Agenda			
		Public Comments			
2	6:35	Approve January, February, March, and April TAC Minutes (enclosed)*			
16	6:45	TPI Budget Adjustment (enclosed)*			
20	7:00	TAC Elections of Officers (enclosed)*			
21	7:15	Presentation on Paratransit Planning Grant Results			
24	7:45	Review of CVRPC Transportation Field Services			
	8:05	TAC Member Concerns			
	0-20	Roundtable for any issues, questions, and town updates from TAC members.			
	8:29	Set Agenda for the Future TAC Meeting			
	8:30	Adjourn			

Future TAC Meeting Agendas

Below is a preview of upcoming TAC meeting agendas for consideration by the TAC.

June

TPI Work Program Review

CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) DRAFT Minutes January 22, 2019

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Office

Attendees:

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

	Barre City: Scott Bascom			
Х	Barre Town: Shaun Corbett			
Х	Berlin: Robert Wernecke, Vice- Chair			
Х	Cabot: Karen Deasy			
Х	Calais: David Ellenbogen			
Х	Duxbury: Alan Quackenbush			
	East Montpelier: Frank Pratt			
Х	Fayston: Kevin Russell			
	Marshfield: Vacant			
Х	Middlesex: Ronald Krauth			
Х	Montpelier: Dona Bate			
Х	Moretown: Joyce Manchester			

	Northfield: Patrick DeMasi
Х	Orange: Lee Cattaneo
Х	Plainfield: Bob Atchinson
Х	Roxbury: Gerry D'Amico
X	Waitsfield: Don La Haye
	Warren: Jim Sanford
	Washington: Vacant
X	Waterbury: Steve Lotspeich, Chair
	Williamstown: Rich Turner
	Woodbury: Vacant
	Worcester: Bill Arrand
X	Staff: Daniel Currier

Guests: Zoe Nederland (VTrans), Dan Jones, Sustainable Montpelier, Laura Biren Sustainable Montpelier, Jonathan Williams Marshfield, Aaron Ingham Marshfield, Rachel Kenedy (GMT)

Steve Lotspeich called the meeting to order at 6:32pm. Introductions were completed.

8 9 10

Adjustments to the Agenda:

There were no adjustments to the agenda.

111213

Public Comments:

14 There were no public comment

1516

17

18

19

Review and Prioritization of Municipal Transportation Study Projects

D. Currier presented three additional proposals to the TAC for review for future funding under our Transportation Planning Initiative Program. CVRPC has annually funded transportation planning studied and the TAC spend time at its October and January meetings reviewing and prioritizing projects. The three additional proposals presented included:

202122

23

24

- Sustainable Montpelier Coalition Employee Transportation Demand Study for On-Demand Transit System
- Town of Marshfield Complete Streets Analysis of Route 2 and other roadways throughout Marshfield village.

• Town of Cabot - Existing Conditions Analysis of Bridge B7 location on VT Route 215 South

3 4

Representatives for each of the proposals spoke briefly about their needs and desired outcomes.

5 6 7

Here is a summary for each of the proposals requests.

8 9

10

14

16

18

Sustainable Montpelier Coalition – Employee Transportation Demand Study for On-Demand **Transit System**

11 The Sustainable Montpelier Coalition (SMC), a 501c3 non-profit, was incorporated in spring 12 2017 in response to the public support generated from the winning designs of the Sustainable 13 Montpelier 2030 Design Competition and the need for action steps to realize the vision. The

Montpelier-Barre-Waterbury region is in need of a local employee and broad public

15 transportation planning study. The goal of the mobility study would be to develop transport

demand profiles for the major employers, town centers, and hospitals within 5 miles of the

17 centers of Montpelier and Barre, if possible extending this profile for employees coming from

Waterbury, Middlesex, East Montpelier and Northfield.

19 Sustainable Montpelier Coalition plans to use this study to assist with implementation of a pilot

20 on-demand micro-transit system in the Montpelier area. Assuming success of the pilot, it

21 foresees a later expansion to Barre-Berlin (with future plans for expansion to Waterbury,

22 Middlesex, East Montpelier and Northfield) region. The envisioned pilot will illustrate the

strengths and weaknesses of the given system. The takeaways from the pilot will form a more

integrated transportation and land-use ecosystem, with the utilization of accessible and smart on-demand transit.

25 26

28

29

30

43

23

24

27

Town of Marshfield - Complete Streets Analysis of Route 2 and other roadways throughout Marshfield village.

The Marshfield Planning Commission, in accordance with the goals, objectives, and strategies outlined in the transportation chapter of our community's recently revised town plan, requests

31 assistance from the Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission for the purposes of 32

completing a transportation planning study. This study shall specifically relate to Chapter 5

33 Objective 8 of the Marshfield Town Plan: "Pursue options to reduce traffic speeds and

34 introduce traffic calming infrastructure on Route 2 and other roadways throughout the village"

35 and Strategy G: "...explore methods such as traffic calming and lower speed limit to reduce

36 traffic speeds on Route 2 through the village. This could include enhanced enforcement and/or

37 signage indicating the speed of vehicles entering the village area."

38 Specifically, we would like to request CVRPC assistance in determining where traffic calming

39 infrastructure is most appropriately situated, and which forms of traffic calming infrastructure

40 are most viable, on Route 2 and at other locations throughout town. More specifically, our

41 interest lies in where to install permanent speed radar signs, as these devices are used to great

effect in neighboring communities such as Plainfield. Any guidance concerning best practices 42

and funding mechanisms for the purchase and installation of any recommended infrastructure

44 upgrades, and any requisite engineering studies, would also be appreciated.

- 1 Town of Cabot - Existing Conditions Analysis of Bridge B7 location on VT Route 215 South
- 2 The Town of Cabot is requesting that an Existing Conditions Analysis be completed for the
- 3 Bridge B7 located in the Town of Cabot on VT Route 215 South.
- 4 Replacing of this bridge is a priority project for our community based on the poor alignment of
- 5 the roadway and traffic on the road in question. Leaving the Village the bridge is on a steep
- 6 incline with a sharp corner on the down slope to navigate after crossing. Trucks navigating this
- 7 bridge from the south (downhill) direction cross into the opposing lane to navigate the corner
- 8 and the bridge making travel perilous.

11

- Many trucks use this route on a daily basis, traffic counts for this roadway, VTrans data, AADT is 1600 per day. Roadway width is limited on the bridge between curbs at 21.7 ft limiting options.
- 12 It is not clear what the depth of the current foundations on this structure are or if the bridge 13
 - can handle hydraulic flows.

14 15

After the presentations the TAC ranked its top three proposals as follows.

16 17

- 1) Northfield Main St Bridge Existing Conditions Analysis
- 18 2) Town of Cabot - Existing Conditions Analysis of Bridge B7 location on VT Route 215 South
 - 3) Duxbury's traffic study of the three-way intersection of River Rd and Main St

19 20 21

22

23

24

Approval of October TAC Minutes:

Z. Nederland asked for some corrections to the October TAC minutes to help clarify the presentation on the VTrans Aviation Plan. K. Russell motioned to accept the minutes with corrections D. La Haye seconded that motion. The motion passed unanimously.

25 26

Review and Prioritization of Town Highway Bridge Pre-Candidates

27 28

- An annual list of CVRPC's top regional Town Highway Bridge Pre Candidates are prioritized by
- 29 the TAC and submitted to VTrans as candidates each year. Projects ranked by the region will
- 30 have a greater probability of being selected by VTrans to move forward to Design and
- 31 Evaluation. VTrans is looking for the TAC to prioritize its top 10 bridge pre candidates.
- 32 D. Currier presented this year's list. It was noted that Montpelier and Northfield have both
- 33 shared the number 1 slot over the last three years. Northfield's bridge has an overall ranked of
- 34 15. The TAC felt it was appropriate to assign the number 1 ranking to Northfield and help it

35 move onto the candidate list in the Capital Program. The TAC ranked the bridges as follows.

Town Name	Road Name	Route	Bridge Number	CVRPC Approved Pre-Candidate Ranking 2019
NORTHFIELD	N MAIN ST	VT12	00060	1
MONTPELIER	STATE ST	USBR2	0B2-1	2
MONTPELIER	GRANIT ST	GRNIT	00017	3
MONTPELIER	GROUT RD	C30GR	00015	4

MORETOWN	MORETOWN MTN RD	C2001	00021	5
MARSHFIELD	ONION RIVER RD	C3057	00027	6
NORTHFIELD	STONY BROOK RD	C3008	00047	7
NORTHFIELD	RABBIT HOLLOW RD	C3057	00065	8
FAYSTON	N FAYSTON RD	C2001	00006	9
WAITSFIELD	EAST RD	C3010	00021	10

R. Wernecke motioned to accept the ranking L. Cattaneo seconded that motion. The motion passed unanimously.

3 4 5

Presentation on Waterbury Stowe St Bridge Existing Condition Report

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

D. Currier give a presentation to the TAC on the Waterbury Stowe St Bridge Existing Condition Report including process, engagement, and final report. The Waterbury Bridge #36 Feasibility Study was undertaken in 2018 by Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission (CVRPC), in partnership with the Town of Waterbury, to document existing conditions, and identify opportunities for improvements at two intersections and the bridge between them, at the northern terminus of Stowe Street, in the Town of Waterbury, Vermont. Public input was received during a local concerns meeting held by the project team, as well as through a Local & Regional Input Questionnaire. Existing conditions were documented, including field observations and follow-up analyses, traffic operations and safety, roadway geometry, bridge

14 Regi

- observations and follow-up analyses, traffic operations and safety, roadway geometry, bridge condition inspection and assessment.
- 17 The full report can be reviewed and downloaded by visiting -
- 18 http://centralvtplanning.org/wpcontent/
- 19 uploads/2012/03/Waterbury-Bridge-36-Existing-Conditions-Report-Final.pdf

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

TAC Member Concerns

- D. Currier shared a memo from GMT outlining the upcoming budget discussion and need to find ways to help create a balance budget. Staff will keep the TAC up to date on this topic.
- R. Kennedy talked about the outreach that will be starting for the route level changes being proposed for Central VT. The meetings are set to start in March.
- B. Atchinson talk about his concerns that the large buses will not be able to enter the new transit center in Montpelier. Instead the large buses will park at the curb out on the road.
 - L. Cattaneo talked about the lack of bus stop facilities at many of the stop between Montpelier and Barre and the concerns that riders shared about how unsafe many of them are because the busses cannot pull off the road to drop off or pick up riders.

30 31 32

Set Agenda for Future TAC Meeting

- 33 Capital Program Project Prioritization
- 34 Trail Counts and Economic Impact Report

3536

37

Adjourn:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:35 pm.

CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) DRAFT Minutes February 26, 2019 Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Office

Allerder

Attendees:

1 2

3

4

5

6

	Barre City: Scott Bascom					
	Barre Town: Shaun Corbett					
	Berlin: Robert Wernecke, Vice- Chair					
	Cabot: Karen Deasy					
Х	Calais: Karin McNeill					
Х	Duxbury: Alan Quackenbush					
Х	East Montpelier: Frank Pratt					
Х	Fayston: Kevin Russell					
	Marshfield: Vacant					
Х	Middlesex: Ronald Krauth					
Х	Montpelier: Dona Bate					
Х	Moretown: Joyce Manchester					

	Northfield: Patrick DeMasi					
Х	Orange: Lee Cattaneo					
	Plainfield: Bob Atchinson					
Х	Roxbury: Gerry D'Amico					
X	Waitsfield: Don La Haye					
	Warren: Jim Sanford					
	Washington: Vacant					
	Waterbury: Steve Lotspeich, Chair					
	Williamstown: Rich Turner					
	Woodbury: Vacant					
	Worcester: Bill Arrand					
X	Staff: Daniel Currier, Acting as Chair					
	Jonathan DeLaBruere					

Guests: Zoe Nederland (VTrans)

- 7 Daniel Currier called the meeting to order at 6:33pm. Quorum of members where not present.
- 8 Introductions were completed.

9 10

Adjustments to the Agenda:

There were no adjustments to the agenda.

11 12 13

Public Comments:

There were no public comment

1516

14

Presentation from VTrans on Asset Management and Tracking Repeat Damage Locations

18 19

17

Zoe Nederland presented to the TAC on the Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP) status and Part 667 of MAP-21 to reducing repeat damage to roads and bridges.

20 21

Zoe has previously presented and gathered input from the TAC on the Transportation Asset Management Plan (TAMP). The Plans current status is:

- 22 23
- Process version submitted April 30, 2018
- Brochure, report, Practitioner's Guide
 - Final TAMP due June 30, 2019

A copy of the brochure that was developed can be viewed by visiting:

https://vtrans.vermont.gov/sites/aot/files/planning/documents/TAMP%20Brochure-Reading%20Order-Web.pdf

Zoe talked in more detail about the Part 667 and the requirements to reducing repeat damage to roads and bridges. The requirement cover:

- Bridges and roads impacted by two or more Governor-declared emergencies
- NHS Roads submitted November 23, 2018
- All Federal Aid roads due November 23, 2020

Zoe working with staff from VTrans Maintenance Districts turn 1,000+ available Detailed Damage Inspection Reports (DDIRs) from 2004-2017 into digital data that can be mapped. They found only 5 locations in the State on the NHS system that had repeat damage. None of them are in Central VT's region.

Zoe also talked about the Statewide Flood Vulnerability Assessment and the Vermont Transportation Resilience Planning Tool (TRPT). Both of which can be used by CVRPC and our towns to help identify location of vulnerably on our transportation network.

Approval of January TAC Minutes:

L. Cattaneo requested the addition of more detail to the public transit local concern he shared. No motion was made as there was no quorum present to vote. The minutes will be added to the March meeting agenda for approval.

Review and Prioritization of Capital Program Projects

An annual list of CVRPC's VTrans Capital Program Projects are prioritized by the TAC and submitted to VTrans each year. Projects ranked by the region will have a greater probability of being selected by VTrans to move forward to construction. VTrans is looking for the TAC to prioritize 20 Capital Program Projects for FY21.

D. Currier presented this year's list and reviewed each project including location, status and draft priority ranking. TAC requested D. Currier follow up with VTrans and request more information on two projects: US 2 roadway project in Plainfield and Marshfield (that VTrans reports as being completed) and Duxbury's town highway bridge project. The TAC ranked the projects as follows:

FY19 CVRPC Draft Priority Ranking	PIN	REPORTING FORMAT	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NUMBER
Roadway				
1	85B006	Front Of Book	WATERBURY	FEGC F 013-4(13)
			BARRE CITY-BARRE	
2	83D106	Front Of Book	TOWN	MEGC M 6000(11)
3	17D045	Front Of Book	ROXBURY	STP SCRP(26)
4	78D348	Front Of Book	CABOT-DANVILLE	FEGC F 028-3(26)C/3

State Highway Bridges					
1	16B010	Front Of Book	MORETOWN	BF 0167(16)	
2	12B148	Front Of Book	CALAIS	BHF 037-2(12)	
3	12B144	Front Of Book	CALAIS	BHF 037-2(10)	
4	12B146	Front Of Book	CALAIS	BHF 037-2(11)	
5	13B254	D&E	BERLIN	BF 026-1(43)	
6	12C602	D&E	WATERBURY	BF 0284(33)	
7	12C576	D&E	BARRE TOWN	BF 0169(12)	
8	86E053	Candidate	WORCESTER	BHF 0241()	
Town Highway Bridge					
1	93J040	Candidate	WATERBURY	BO 1446()	
2	18J008	D&E	MONTPELIER	NH DECK(48)	
3	12J612	Candidate	CABOT	BF 0249()	
4	96J272	Candidate	DUXBURY	TH3 9634	
Traffic & Safety					
1	99D128	Front Of Book	BARRE TOWN	HES STPG 6100(6)	
2	14T184	Front Of Book	PLAINFIELD	NH 028-3(41)	
3	04D196	Front Of Book	BARRE CITY	HES 037-1(8)	
4	10C388	Front Of Book	BARRE TOWN	STP HES 0169(8)	

J. Manchester motioned to make a recommendation to pass the reviewed and prioritized list as presented for approval at the next meeting of the CVRPC TAC where a quorum is present. D. Bates seconded that motion. The motion passed unanimously.

Transportation Updates

D. Currier briefly reviewed the updates with the TAC.

TAC Member Concerns

A. Quackenbush shared his concerns about the Governor cutting funding for Towns while at the same time looking to them to cover more and more. These added requirements and costs need to be looked at as burdens that are unfair and cost the Towns too much.

Set Agenda for Future TAC Meeting

- 15 Capital Program Project Prioritization Approval
- 16 Trail Counts and Economic Impact Report Presentation
- 17 GMT NextGen Public Meeting Presentation

19 Adjourn:

20 The meeting was adjourned at 8:25 pm.

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) DRAFT Minutes

March 26, 2019

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Office

Attendees:

1

2

3

4

5

6

	Barre City: Scott Bascom			
Х	Barre Town: Shaun Corbett			
Х	Berlin: Robert Wernecke, Vice- Chair			
	Cabot: Karen Deasy			
Х	Calais: David Ellenbogen			
Х	Duxbury: Alan Quackenbush			
Х	East Montpelier: Frank Pratt			
Х	Fayston: Kevin Russell			
	Marshfield: Vacant			
	Middlesex: Ronald Krauth			
	Montpelier: Dona Bate			
Х	Moretown: Joyce Manchester			

	Northfield: Patrick DeMasi						
	Orange: Lee Cattaneo						
Х	Plainfield: Bob Atchinson						
Х	Roxbury: Gerry D'Amico						
Х	Waitsfield: Don La Haye						
	Warren: Jim Sanford						
	Washington: Vacant						
х	Waterbury: Steve Lotspeich, Chair						
	Williamstown: Rich Turner						
	Woodbury: Vacant						
	Worcester: Bill Arrand						
X	Staff: Daniel Currier						

Guests: Zoe Nederland (VTrans), Rachel Kennedy (GMT), Drew Pollak-Bruce (SE Group), Steven Farnham (Plainfield)

- 7 Steve Lotspeich called the meeting to order at 6:33pm. Quorum of members where not present.
- 8 Introductions were completed.

9 10

Adjustments to the Agenda:

There were no adjustments to the agenda.

11 12 13

Public Comments:

There were no public comment

15 16

14

Green Mountain Transit NextGen Public Meeting Presentation

17 18

19

20

Rachel Kennedy from Green Mountain Transit presented on the Nextgen service changes that are being proposed for Washington and Lamoille counties. She started by letting everyone know that these service changes are planned to go into effect in September 2019 and that a new round of public meetings will be held in Washington county starting in May and June.

- The routes proposed for service improvements include:
- 24 Barre and Montpelier Hospital Hill, Montpelier City Route, Waterbury Commuter, US 2 Commuter,
- 25 Montpelier LINK Express, Northfield Commuter, Montpelier Circulator, Capital Shuttle, and the Route
- 26 100 Commuter.
- 27 Q How old is the Montpelier circulator? I hear it takes 2 years for anyone to get use to a service.

- 1 A The service is more than 8 years old.
- 2 Q What are the percent cost shares of our bus routes?
- 3 A 20 % local match 30% State 50% Federal
- 4 Q Where are the fares included in those percentages?
- 5 A In the state percentage. Which for our region it is around 5%.
- 6 Q What % are free passes like the ones UVM medical or students use?
- 7 A Those passes are not free they are paid for by UVM. But they use the unlimited pass so you can ride
- 8 as many time as you like.
- 9 Q What percentages of riders are commuters and one time users?
- 10 A I don't have that number at the moment but I (Rachel) will look it up and let you know.
- 11 Q Is there anything going on in the Mad River Valley?
- 12 A We still have the seasonal service running for Fy20. That service will have some improvements made
- including going back to door to door pickups for FY20.
- 14 Q Do you have any plans to connect from the ski areas to the train stations?
- 15 A There was a Montpelier to Mad River service that fall short on ridership and was discontinued it a
- 16 few year back. No the train service is unreliable at this time and can't be reliably connected to.
- 17 Q Is there any bus service planning to connect Hardwick on Route 14 and/or Morrisville on Route 12 to
- 18 Montpelier?
- 19 A That was looked at during the study but is not planning at this time because it would increase costs.
- 20 Q Are the buses allowed to idle in the parking lot?
- 21 A I will have to check in on that and get back to you
- 22 S There are rumors that the US 2 Commuter mid-day run will be discontinued.
- 23 I would not what this service changed as there is no way to get a ride back in the middle of the day
- 24 making people wait all day in Montpelier for the afternoon bus.
- 25 A That route is still being reviewed and more feedback is being taken.
- 26 S Meeting during the TAC meeting for public transit input disadvantages many people who need to
- 27 take transit.

Additional feedback can be emailed to GMT feedback at feedback@RideGMT.com

30 31

Presentation on Trial Counts and Economic Impact Report

- 32 Drew Pollak-Bruce of the SE Group presented on the Catamount Trail Association Trail Counting and
- Economic Impact Analysis work they have being doing. The goal of this work is to gather data Year-over-
- year to understand use, establish season-long trail counting in multiple locations along the Catamount
- 35 Trail and some local chapter trails, build an understanding of overall trail use by exploring different
- 36 sections/character, and understand the Catamount Economic impact on the local economy.

- Q Does your economic impact model use the information found in the 2016 Vermont Trails and
- 39 Greenways Economic Impact Study?
- 40 A Yes it does
- 41 Q The spending numbers for the Catamount Trail seem really high, is there a reason?
- 42 A While one item that contributes to the cost is the difference between and local and non-local
- spenders. Where the non-local spending is higher than local spending.
- 44 Q Is there any modeling of the health benefits?

A – We have not but I have heard that for every \$1 spent on trails saves \$3 in health costs.

2 3

1

Approve January 22th and February 26th TAC Minutes:

4 5 S. Lotspeich and A. Quackenbush requested some edits to the minutes. No motion was made as there was no quorum present to vote. The minutes will be added to the April meeting agenda for approval.

6 7

Review and Approve Prioritization of Capital Program Projects

8 9

An annual list of CVRPC's VTrans Capital Program Projects are prioritized by the TAC and submitted to VTrans each year. Projects ranked by the region will have a greater probability of being selected by VTrans to move forward to construction. VTrans is looking for the TAC to prioritize 20 Capital Program

10 11

Projects for FY21.

12

13 14

15 16

D. Currier presented the list that was ranked at the February TAC meeting. S. Lotspeich suggested not ranking the Waterbury Roadway project as the number 1 project because that project I under construction right now and advance the Barre City-Barre Town, Roxbury, and Cabot-Danville by one ranking position. D. Currier reported out on two projects that the TAC requested more information on: US 2 roadway project in Plainfield and Marshfield is completed but due to the condition of the pavement VTrans is programing a new project for this summer that will repair the surface. Duxbury's town highway bridge project is a new project to the list. The bridge is undersize and in need of replacement. The TAC ranked the projects as follows:

FY19 CVRPC Draft	PIN	REPORTING FORMAT	PROJECT NAME	PROJECT NUMBER		
Priority Ranking	PIN	FURIVIAT	PROJECT NAIVIE	PROJECT NOWIDER		
Koadway	Roadway					
Not Ranked	85B006	Front Of Book	WATERBURY	FEGC F 013-4(13)		
			BARRE CITY-BARRE			
1	83D106	Front Of Book	TOWN	MEGC M 6000(11)		
2	17D045	Front Of Book	ROXBURY	STP SCRP(26)		
3	78D348	Front Of Book	CABOT-DANVILLE	FEGC F 028-3(26)C/3		
State Highway Bridge	s					
1	16B010	Front Of Book	MORETOWN	BF 0167(16)		
2	12B148	Front Of Book	CALAIS	BHF 037-2(12)		
3	12B144	Front Of Book	CALAIS	BHF 037-2(10)		
4	12B146	Front Of Book	CALAIS	BHF 037-2(11)		
5	13B254	D & E	BERLIN	BF 026-1(43)		
6	12C602	D&E	WATERBURY	BF 0284(33)		
7	12C576	D & E	BARRE TOWN	BF 0169(12)		
8	86E053	Candidate	WORCESTER	BHF 0241()		
		_				
Town Highway Bridge	Town Highway Bridges					
1	93J040	Candidate	WATERBURY	BO 1446()		
2	18J008	D&E	MONTPELIER	NH DECK(48)		
3	12J612	Candidate	CABOT	BF 0249()		

4	96J272	Candidate	DUXBURY	TH3 9634		
Traffic & Safety						
1	99D128	Front Of Book	BARRE TOWN	HES STPG 6100(6)		
2	14T184	Front Of Book	PLAINFIELD	NH 028-3(41)		
3	04D196	Front Of Book	BARRE CITY	HES 037-1(8)		
4	10C388	Front Of Book	BARRE TOWN	STP HES 0169(8)		

"G. D'Amico agreed to remove the number one ranking from the Waterbury roadway project because that project is under construction and advance the Barre City-Barre Town, Roxbury, and Cabot-Danville by one ranking position. He agreed to pass the reviewed and prioritized list as adjusted onto the executive committee for approval. F. Pratt seconded those statements and the TAC members present agreed unanimously."

TAC Member Concerns

G. D'Amico commented that he did not like having the business plug from the SE Group and that the numbers where hard to believe.

F. Pratt commented that the East Barre and Williamstown Park and Rides are not being well maintained. There are broken down cars making it hard to remove snow and trash everywhere. Z. Nederland volunteered to follow up with VTrans to find out who maintains those and let them know.

Set Agenda for Future TAC Meeting

Review of Functional Class Road Changes

Presentation on the use of Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS)

Adjourn:

The meeting was adjourned at 8:25 pm.

CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC)

DRAFT Minutes

4 April 23, 2019

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Office

Attendees:

1 2

3

5

6

Х	Barre City: Scott Bascom
Χ	Barre Town: Shaun Corbett
Х	Berlin: Robert Wernecke, Vice- Chair
	Cabot: Karen Deasy
Х	Calais: David Ellenbogen
	Duxbury: Alan Quackenbush
Х	East Montpelier: Frank Pratt
	Fayston: Kevin Russell
	Marshfield: Vacant
Х	Middlesex: Ronald Krauth
Х	Montpelier: Dona Bate
	Moretown: Joyce Manchester

	Northfield: Patrick DeMasi
	Orange: Lee Cattaneo
Х	Plainfield: Bob Atchinson
Х	Roxbury: Gerry D'Amico
	Waitsfield: Don La Haye
	Warren: Jim Sanford
	Washington: Vacant
Х	Waterbury: Steve Lotspeich, Chair
Х	Williamstown: Rich Turner
	Woodbury: Vacant
	Worcester: Bill Arrand
X	Staff: Daniel Currier

Guests: Zoe Nederland and Evan Robinson (VTrans), Greg Western (Cross VT Trail) Tom McArdle (City of Montpelier)

Steve Lotspeich called the meeting to order at 6:35pm. Quorum of members where not present. Introductions were completed.

Adjustments to the Agenda:

There were no adjustments to the agenda.

Public Comments:

There were no public comment

Presentation from VTrans on the use of Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)

17 Evan Robinson VTrans UAS Program Director presented on the use of the system by the Agency.

- Currently there is a group of VTrans staff involved in the UAS program and make up the team.
- 19 VTrans follows the FAA requirement for UAS operations including being certified to fly them.
- 20 The UAS systems falls under part 107 compliance of the FAA regulations which dictates the
- 21 weight (less than 55 pounds) flight elevation (under 400 feet) and other regulations on there
- 22 use.

23

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

- 24 VTrans currently has one UAS that it operates a DJI Phantom 4. This has proven to be a
- 25 workhours but they have four more UAS's on order. Their daily flight operations include
- 26 structure inspection, project and construction management, transportation promotion, GIS
- 27 mapping and environmental surveys.

- 1 Q How do you determine elevation? The photo are stitched together using photogrammetry
- 2 and the elevation I'm not sure about.
- 3 Q How about the computer. Do you have a processing computer to do that? We do but it is
- 4 not nearly powerful enough and we are in the processing of purchasing a new one.
- 5 Q You have pilots for the UAS but can't you run the UAS with the computer. Yes we can but
- 6 we still need spotters and a pilot to help manage the flight. All of these people help to improve
- 7 our safety while flying. The UAS can also be used during an emergency and live stream photos
- 8 into the Emergency Operation Center.
- 9 Q Even during search and rescue do you still need line of sight? Yes you do.
- 10 Q Do you produce a lot of film? No all photos are digital and get stored on servers at VTrans.
- 11 Q Is weather a problem to flying? Yes we have limits especially wind speed.
- 12 Q UVM has a program correct? Yes and we use them when we have questions and are a great
- 13 resource.
- 14 Q How much do all of these cost? \$1,000-\$2,000 for a basic UAS but up to \$20,000 for the
- 15 high end one.
- 16 The UAS photos can be used to help support the use of the snooper truck but will not replace
- 17 the snooper truck inspection.
- 18 Evan will share the info on what are the requirements for flying a UAS in VT.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Central VT Construction Project Updates:

Cross VT Trail (Winooski River Bridge/US 2) — Greg Western, Cross VT Trail Director presented an update on the Trail between Montpelier and East Montpelier on US 2. This section of trail is a continuation of the Montpelier Bike Path. Greg reports they have received their letter of intent from VTrans for their Section 1111 State highway access permit for the section of path along US 2 and are working on other permits for the Winooski River bridge crossing. This section, including the bridge and path, is scheduled to be completed by 2021. At the same time that this work is going on Cross VT is also working on securing trail easements in East Montpelier and Plainfield.

28 29 30

Comment: This section of the Cross VT Trail is also part of the Central VT Path and should be added as a foot note in your material.

313233

34

35

36

37

38

39

Montpelier Bike Path – Tom McArdle, City of Montpelier Public Works Director presented an update on the construction of the Montpelier Bike Path from Taylor St to Main St and Granite St to the Ice Center on Gallison Hill Rd. These section totals 1.9 miles of path with 1.1 miles being off road. The planning for this section of the path started 20 years ago and originally was going to connect Montpelier to Berlin. But due to restrictions on the use of the Rail Road bed between Montpelier and Berlin the City had to abandon the section of the path to Berlin and move it to an on road facility. The total project cost is 4.9 million and will be completed this year.

- Waterbury Main St Steve Lotspeich, Waterbury Community Planner presented an update on
- the construction of the Waterbury Main St Reconstruction. The planning for this project
- started 30 year ago and includes 0.9 miles of reconstruction including water and sewer mains,

sidewalks, roadway, power utilities, lighting, and trees. The project cost is 15 million dollars and will be completed by 2021. The project will be worked on in four segments with segment 2, 3 and 4 being work on in 2019, section 1 and 2 being work on in 2020 with all work completed in 2021.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

1

2

3

I 89 Exit 6 Ledge Removal – Daniel Currier, CVRPC staff presented an update on the I-89 Exit 6 Ledge removal. The project consists of the removal of ledge for 1400 foot along I-89. The ledge will be cut back to a 1 to 1 slope in most locations and the removal of vegetation along the top. The project will result in the closure of Exit 6 for about 6 weeks and rolling road blocks on I-89 north and south bound during blasting. The exit closure and reduced lands on I-89 is schedule to being in June.

11 12 13

Approve January 22nd, February 26th and March 26th TAC Minutes:

No motion was made as there was no quorum present to vote. The minutes will be added to the May meeting agenda for approval.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

Review of Functional Class Road Changes in Barre Town:

D. Currier presented on the request from Barre Town to change three segments of road from a local roads to major collectors in the Functional Classification system including:

- Waterman St from VT 110 to Websterville Rd
- Websterville Rd from Waterman St to Miller Rd
- Websterville Rd from Church Hill Rd to Quarry Hill Rd.

The purpose of the functional classification system is to identify the particular role a roadway plays in moving vehicles through a network of highways. It groups roads into three main functional classes as defined by the United States Federal Highway Administration: arterial, collector, and local.

262728

29

Each roadway was reviewed by the TAC and they agreed with the request by Barre Town to pass on these roadways to VTrans for incorporation as major collectors into the Functional Classification system.

30 31 32

TAC Member Concerns

No concerns where shared

333435

Set Agenda for Future TAC Meeting

- 36 TPI Budget Adjustment
- 37 TPI Work Program Review
- 38 Presentation on Paratransit Planning Grant Results

39

40 Adjourn:

41 The meeting was adjourned at 8:18 pm.



Date: May 28, 2019

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Daniel Currier, Program Manager

Re: CVRPC FFY 2019 TPI Budget Adjustment 1

Request

Staff requests TAC approval of the CVRPC FFY 19 Transportation Planning Initiative work plan and budget adjustment 1.

This adjustment reflects budget changes to Task 1-6 and the addition of a new work plan Task 6B (Watershed Planning). Exhibit 2, 3, 4 includes the full budget details.

Summary of budget amounts by task:

					%
Task	Task Description	Approved	Amended	Difference	Change
Task 1	Administration	\$17,354	\$15,854	(\$1,500)	-8.64%
Task 2	Public Participation & Coordination	\$49,148	\$37,011	(\$12,137)	-24.69%
Task 3	Long Range Planning	\$56,250	\$63,633	\$7,383	13.13%
Task 4	Short Range Planning	\$89,718	\$93,303	\$3,585	4.00%
Task 5	Project Development	\$13,890	\$16,560	\$2,670	19.22%
Task 6A	Other Planning - VT Culverts	\$2,999	\$2,999	\$0	0.00%
Task 6B	Other Planning - Watershed Planning	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	100.00%
	TOTAL	\$229,360	\$249,360	\$20,000	

These budget adjustments helps to bring tasks allocations in line with current spending trends and the addition of the new task 6B.

Funding Level - \$249,360

The following work plan changes from the FFY19 include:

Task 1 – Program Administration: No change to task.

Task 2 – Public Participation and Coordination: No change to task.

Task 3 – Long Range Planning: No change to task

Task 4 – Short Range Planning: No change to task

Task 5 – Project Development Planning: No change to task

Task 6A – Other Planning Activities (VT Culverts): No change to task

Task 6B – Other Planning Activities (Watershed Planning): Addition

Transportation System Resiliency Planning

VTrans has recently completed the development of a Transportation Flood Resilience Planning Tool (TRPT), a web-based application that identifies bridges, culverts and road embankments that are vulnerable to damage from floods; estimates risk based on the vulnerability and criticality of roadway segments; and identifies potential mitigation measures based on the factors driving the vulnerability. See the TRPT website for links to the on-line web application and user guide, and for an overview (https://vtrans.vermont.gov/planning/transportation-resilience)

The purpose of this task is to (1) to expand the TRPT to additional watersheds beyond the original three pilots; and (2) to develop staff capacity to build, maintain and apply the TRPT in prioritizing needs and evaluating alternatives. A Request for Proposals was issued in March 2019 inviting RPCs to submit proposals for a \$30,000 grant for the staff training and technical work necessary to add a watershed to the TRPT. CVRPC's proposal to add the Stevens Branch Watershed to the TRPT was selected by VTrans.

Training and technical assistance will be provided by the consultants that developed the TRPT under a contract with VTrans. The effort will kick-off in May 2019 and be complete in December 2019. As a result, the work will start in FFY 2019 with \$20,000 and extend into FFY 2020 with \$10,000. The existing FFY 2019 TPI grant agreements will be amended to include this task for CVRPC. The FFY 2020 grant agreements will include the funding for the balance of the work.

CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION FFY 2019 Transportation Planning Initiative

May 2019

Exhibit 2: Budget Detail by Task Category

Task	Task Description	Agreement Amount
Task 1	Program Administration	\$15,854
Task 2	Public Participation and Coordination	\$37,011
Task 3	Long Range Transportation Planning	\$63,633
Task 4	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$93,303
Task 5	Project Development Planning	\$16,560
Task 6A	VOBCIT Technical Support	\$2,999
Task 6B	Watershed Planning activities	\$20,000
Total		\$249,360

Exhibit 3: Budget Detail by Expense Category

RPC Staff Position	Rate SFY19	Total Hours	Total Cost
Executive Director	\$55.38	389	\$21,543
Program Manager	\$38.43	1,113	\$42,765
GIS Senior Planner I	\$40.04	294	\$11,782
GIS Planner I	\$33.49	662	\$22,170
Land Use Senior Planner III	\$35.14	30	\$1,054
Office Manager	\$36.00	53	\$1,908
Emerg Mngmt Asst Plan I	\$23.77	143	\$3,399
Land Use Asst Planner II	\$22.38	55	\$1,231
Planning Technician I	\$14.00	440	\$6,160
Planning Technician II	\$13.99	0	\$0
Total		3,179	\$112,012

Indirect Costs	115.00%		
RPC Staff Position	of Hourly Rate	Total Hours	Total Cost
Executive Director	\$63.69	389	\$24,774
Program Manager	\$44.19	1,113	\$49,180
GIS Senior Planner I	\$46.05	294	\$13,549
GIS Planner I	\$38.51	662	\$25,496
Land Use Senior Planner III	\$40.41	30	\$1,212
Office Manager	\$41.40	53	\$2,194
Emerg Mngmt Asst Plan I	\$27.34	143	\$3,909
Land Use Asst Planner II	\$25.74	55	\$1,416
Planning Technician I	\$16.10	440	\$7,084
Planning Technician II	\$16.09	0	\$0
Total		3,179	\$128,814

Direct Costs	Total Cost
Contractual	\$0
Travel	\$3,964
Supplies	\$1,235
Equipment	\$0
Meetings	\$2,600
Data & References	\$100
Postage	\$100
Copy/Print	\$0
Advertising	\$535
Total	\$8,534

Fund Allo	cation		
Task	Task Description	CVRPC Share ¹	VTrans Share ²
Task 1	Program Administration	\$1,585	\$14,268
Task 2	Public Participation and Coordination	\$3,701	\$33,310
Task 3	Long Range Transportation Planning	\$6,363	\$57,270
Task 4	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$9,330	\$83,973
Task 5	Project Development Planning	\$1,656	\$14,904
Task 6A	VOBCIT Technical Support	0	\$2,999
Task 6B	Watershed Planning activities	0	\$20,000
Subtotal	by Share	\$22,636	\$226,724
		·	
Agreeme	nt Total		\$249,360

Notes:

 $^{^{\}mathrm{1}}$ CVRPC share comes from annual appropriations from the Vermont Agency of Commerce and

² VTrans share comes from federal transportation funds provided by the U.S. Department of

CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION FFY 2019 Transportation Planning Initiative

May 2019

Exhibit 4: Time-Task-Cost Summary

A. Perso	nnel (Hours)	Bonnie	Dan	Pam	Ashley	Clare	Nancy	Jonathan	Zach	Ashlynn		
		Exec	Transpo	GIS	GIS	Land Use	Office	Emerg Mngmt	Land Use	Planning	Planning	
Task #	Task Description	Director	Program Mngr	Sr Planner I	Planner I	Sr Planner III	Manager	Asst Plan I	Asst Plan II	Tech I	Tech II	Total Hours
1	Administration	10	60	0	5	0	10	1	0	0	0	86
2	Public Participation & Coordination	25	365	0	10	0	25	20	5	0	0	450
3	Long Range Transportation Planning	330	230	30	4	25	1	0	10	0	0	630
4	Short Range Transportation Planning	12	250	120	560	5	7	88	40	440	0	1,522
5	Project Development Planning	12	130	42	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	194
6A	VOBCIT Technical Support		36									36
6B	Watershed Planning activities		42	102	83			34				261
	Total	389	1.113	294	662	30	53	143	55	440	0	3.179

B. Direct Costs (\$)1

Task#	Task Description	Contractual	Travel	Supplies	Equipment	Meetings	Data/Ref	Postage	Copy/Print	Advertising	Total
1	Administration		\$3,964	\$1,200		\$2,600	\$100	\$100		\$535	\$8,499
2	Public Participation & Coordination										\$0
3	Long Range Transportation Planning										\$0
4	Short Range Transportation Planning										\$0
5	Project Development Planning										\$0
6	VOBCIT Technical Support										\$0
6	Watershed Planning activities			\$35							\$35
	Total	\$0	\$3,964	\$1,235	\$0	\$2,600	\$100	\$100	\$0	\$535	\$8,534
					1400						

C. Cost Proposal Summary (\$)

		Exec	Transpo	GIS	GIS	Land Use	Office	Emerg Mngmt	Land Use	Planning	Planning				
Task#	Task Description	Director	Program Mngr	Sr Planner I	Planner I	Sr Planner III	Manager	Asst Plan I	Asst Plan II	Tech I	Tech II	Total Personnel	Indirect	Direct	Total Costs
	Hourly Rate	\$55.38	\$38.43	\$40.04	\$33.49	\$35.14	\$36.00	\$23.77	\$22.38	\$14.00	\$13.99				
1	Administration	\$554	\$2,306	\$10	\$167	\$0	\$360	\$24	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,421	\$3,934	\$8,499	\$15,854
2	Public Participation & Coordination	\$1,385	\$14,008	\$0	\$335	\$0	\$900	\$475	\$112	\$0	\$0	\$17,214	\$19,797	\$0	\$37,011
3	Long Range Transportation Planning	\$18,275	\$8,839	\$1,201	\$134	\$879	\$45	\$0	\$224	\$0	\$0	\$29,597	\$34,036	\$0	\$63,633
4	Short Range Transportation Planning	\$665	\$9,608	\$4,805	\$18,754	\$176	\$243	\$2,092	\$895	\$6,160	\$0	\$43,397	\$49,906	\$0	\$93,303
5	Project Development Planning	\$665	\$4,996	\$1,682	\$0	\$0	\$360	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,702	\$8,857	\$0	\$16,560
6A	VOBCIT Technical Support	\$0	\$1,395	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,395	\$1,604	\$0	\$2,999
6B	Watershed Planning activities	\$0	\$1,614	\$4,084	\$2,780	\$0	\$0	\$808	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,286	\$10,679	\$35	\$20,000
	Total	\$21,543	\$42,765	\$11,782	\$22,170	\$1,054	\$1,908	\$3,399	\$1,231	\$6,160	\$0	\$112,012	\$128,814	\$8,534	\$249,360

115.00% Indirect Rate											Total Employee Indirect	
	Indirect per employee	\$24,774	\$49,180	\$13,549	\$25,496	\$1,212	\$2,194	\$3,909	\$1,416	\$7,084	\$0	\$128,814

Notes

¹ Contractual: Audit services, engineering services as needed for problem evaluation, professional editor

Travel: Mileage, transportation, parking, lodging, per diem

Supplies: Office and traffic counting supplies, mapping supplies used for transportation planning

Equipment: Counting and inventory equipment, computers used for transportation planning

Meetings: Meeting room space, other associated costs, conference / workshop fees

Data / Ref: Reference materials

Postage: Large packages, special mailings



Date: May 28, 2019

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Daniel Currier, Program Manager

Re: Nomination and Appointment of Chair and Vice-Chair

Request

Staff requests TAC member's nomination and appointment a Chair and Vice-Chair of the Transportation Advisory Committee.

Excerpt from Transportation Advisory Committee Rules of Procure Date April 11, 2017

OFFICERS/ELECTIONS: The TAC will elect a Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson annually at the TAC's May meeting. The Chair will be responsible for running meetings, setting agendas in conjunction with staff, reviewing and signing correspondence on behalf of the TAC, and representing the TAC at various meetings as needed. The Vice-Chair will provide support to the Chair as needed. If the Chair or Vice-Chair should resign before his/her term is expired, an interim election shall be held within two meetings or when regular elections are held in May, whichever is earlier.

Transportation is Changing make vit Poworke for Myoru 2019 Page 21

Paratransit Planning Overview

Changes to the existing transit system have been proposed, including the addition of complementary ADA paratransit. This proposed paratransit service is both exciting and worrisome. While it has the potential to provide better service for transit users, it also will bring change and it is change that can be challenging for any of us. To address this change we have developed an initial process to help the public understand what is needed to operate a rural paratransit service based on the potential users of this service.

Goal:

To build a more knowledgeable and informed transit ridership in the Central Vermont Region by engaging directly with existing public transit users and potential users of our rural transit system.

Website: http://centralvtplanning.org/programs/transportation/paratransit-planning/

Findings

As we gathered input and preference on the proposed Paratransit service we also discovered that additional education on the existing transit system was needed. Of the people we surveyed that use transit, more than 25% responded that they didn't know which bus route they lived near. Additionally, 41% of riders reported that better information about what services are available would make it easier for them to utilize GMT transportation services. 58% and 74% of responders indicated that they do or would use the transit service to go to medical appointments and shopping, respectively. Furthermore, just over 38% of responders indicated that they would want to use the service to reach social or leisure activities. Additionally, we found that many older adults and people with disabilities are willing and able to be more mobile (i.e. walk to a bus stop) during the fair weather month but have limited mobility during the winter months (snow and ice on doorways and sidewalks).

Needs for Paratransit Service:

- Outreach on qualification process
- Gaps in service assistance need to be considered
- Scheduling service
- Ability to ride to social activities
- Guarantee that the rider will get picked up and dropped off on time
- Door to door service
- Seasonal variability in service

Needs for Public Transit Service:

• Updated Bus Maps and Guide





Transportation is Changing make vit Porton New 2019 Page 22

- Education on transit code of conduct and rider bill or rights
- Standardized rider experience

Engagement

We engaged directly with existing public transit users and potential users of our rural transit system. This engagement included presenting at community and small group meetings; surveying older adults, persons with disabilities and care givers; creating user-story videos; and sharing information on Facebook, in newsprint, and via email.



269

197

600 +

Project meetings Survey responses

Media clicks and views

People engaged

Barriers and Solutions

Users of the existing system identified many barriers and potential solutions during our engagement. In total nine barriers where identified with the primary theme being around the need to improve our public transit infrastructure and build knowledge on how to appropriately help older adults and persons with disabilities.

Solutions where also identified to help address many of these barriers. The primary themes found in the solutions is to identify locations for public transit infrastructure improvements and a need for more education for the transit operator and the transit rider so that there can be a more predictable experience.

Barriers

Solutions

Conclusions and Next Steps

The Paratransit Planning Committee feels that we met our project's goal to build a more knowledgeable and informed transit ridership in the Central Vermont Region by



Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission

Transportation is Changing make: vit PWV 507 Neef or My/ORU 2019 Page 23

engaging directly with existing public transit users and potential users of our rural transit system. The engagement of over 600 people in our process is particularly indicative of our meeting our goal. The input we gathered for the paratransit service will help the operator and rider better understand and use the service. The gaps in understanding with our existing service by the user tells us that there is still more that can be done to help older adults, people with disabilities and caregivers to improve their knowledge of service availability.

To accomplish bridging these gaps the Paratransit Planning Committee will be doing the following:

- Working with GMT to identify bus stop locations that need improvements
- Adding rural members to GMT ADA Committee
- Working with GMT on outreach during the two weeks before and two weeks after the start of the paratransit service
- Apply for a Phase 2 Round 2 Inclusive Coordinated Transportation Partnership Project Grant to help develop education and outreach materials to build a more knowledgeable and informed transit ridership in the Central Vermont Region

Thank You,

Paratransit Planning Committee

Participants

- Rebecca Mackin (caregiver)
- Dale Hackett (person with disabilities and transit user)
- Lawrence Seiler (person with disabilities and transit user)
- Lee Cattaneo (older adult)

Central Vermont Regional Planning Commission Central Vermont Council on Aging Barre Project Independence Vermont Center For Independent Living Green Mountain Transit Vermont Agency of Transportation







MEMO

Date: May 28, 2019

To: Transportation Advisory Committee

From: Daniel Currier Program Manager

Re: CVRPC Transportation Field Services

CVRPC provides numerus transportation planning field services to our municipalities including traffic studies, traffic counts, bike and pedestrian counts, culvert and bridge inventories, ash tree inventories, and road erosion inventories.

Staff will spend a few minutes presenting on each of these services and how towns can take advantage of them.