Approved: May 14, 2019

1	CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION							
2	MINUTES							
3	April 9, 2019							
4								
5		missioners:		_				
	×	Barre City	Janet Shatney	×	Moretown	Dara Torre, Secretary		
			Heather Grandfield, Alt.			Joyce Manchester, Alt		
	×	Barre Town	Byron Atwood	×	Northfield	Laura Hill-Eubanks, Vice-Chair		
			Mark Nicholson, Alt.		Orange	Lee Cattaneo		
	×	Berlin	Robert Wernecke		Plainfield	Bram Towbin		
			Karla Nuissl, Alt.	×		Paula Emery, Alt.		
	×	Cabot	Amy Hornblas	×	Roxbury	Jerry D'Amico		
		Calais	John Brabant	×	Waitsfield	Don La Haye		
			Jan Ohlsson, Alt.			Harrison Snapp, Alt.		
	×	Duxbury	Alan Quackenbush		Warren	Camilla Behn		
	×	E. Montpelier	Julie Potter, Chair		Washington	Peter Carbee		
	×		Jack Pauly, Alt.	×	Waterbury	Steve Lotspeich		
		Fayston	Karl Klein	×	Williamstown	Richard Turner		
		Marshfield	Melissa Seifert		Williamstown	Jacqueline Higgins, Alt.		
	×	Middlesex	Ron Krauth	×	Woodbury	Michael Gray, Treasurer		
		Montpelier	Kirby Keeton	×	Worcester	Bill Arrand		
			Mike Miller, Alt.					
6								
7								
8	Staff	: Bonnie Waning	ger, Clare Rock					
9								
10	Call to Order							
11	Chai	Chair J. Potter called the meeting to order at 6:30 pm. Quorum was present to conduct business.						
12								
13	Αdjι	Adjustments to the Agenda						
14	None	None.						
15								
16	Pub	lic Comments						
17	B. W	aninger requesto	ed Commissioners comment	on pref	erences for meet	ing food and sign the CVRPC		
18	Conf	Conflict of Interest Policy if they had not signed.						
19								
20	Esse	Essentials of Land Use Planning: A Primer on Regulating Development in Vermont						
21		C. Rock gave a brief presentation on the Essentials of Land Use Planning. The presentation provided the						
22		history of planning in Vermont as background for the subsequent Act 250 presentation and discussion.						
23			ed a copy of the presentatior		,	•		
24		, ,						

Act 250 Updates

Waninger gave a presentation on updates proposed for Act 250. She noted that while the legislation as a whole was not expected to move this legislative session, some parts might move independently, such as the forest integrity piece.

During the presentation the Board had the following discussions:

Regarding the Summery of Recommendations: Local and Regional Plan Approval and Use topic (slide 6), Waninger asked "Should the Regional Plan be reviewed by another agency/party for consistency will state statute (similar to how the RPC reviews and approves municipal plans)?" By an informal show of hands, the majority of the Board was in agreement that the regional plan should be reviewed by another entity for statutory consistency. Board members asked about the makeup of the VT Environmental Review Board, as outlined in the presentation, and whether they would be appointed by the Governor. The answer is unknown at this time.

Waninger asked "Who is the right party to review Regional Plans?" The Board discussed whether a State agency representative and/or peer review might make more sense versus an appointed body. It suggested an entity similar to the Downtown Board versus using the same board that would review an Act 250 application. Board members were in general agreement that an alternate body should be responsible for reviewing the regional plan.

Discussion followed about the *Municipal Plan Approval and Use* recommendations. Discussion followed about whether the municipality has party status and/or whether the municipal plan has party status. The Board was generally okay with the proposed recommendations as presented in the presentation. Members had additional questions about the proposed standing of a town and its plan.

Regarding the Summery of Recommendations: Transportation Criteria topic (Slide 7):

 Recommendation 1 - Adds consideration of bicycle, pedestrian, and other transit infrastructure.
 Majority of the Board was in favor of this recommendation.

 Recommendation 2 - Expands consideration of safe use, access, and connections to adjacent lands and facilities. Some members of the Board were in favor; many wanted more information because it sounded too vague.

Recommendation 3 - Requires District Commission state when it declines to require
transportation demand management strategies by issuing a finding. No clear support by the
Board. On the surface it sounds good, but it sounds like it would create more administrative
work.

At the next meeting, the Commission will review the following Act 250-related topics: Energy, Interstate Interchange, and Enhanced Designation. If there are additional areas the Commission would like to discuss, Waninger requested members let her know.

1 2	People would like a color copy of the presentation for review. CVRPC also has put together some maps regarding interstate interchanges and can distribute those.				
3	regarding interstate interchanges and can distribute those.				
4	Committee Rules of Procedure				
5	C. Rock noted that the one significant change was the addition of item #2 under the Purpose statement.				
6					
7	B. Arrand moved to approve the Town Plan Review Committee Rules of Procedure as presented; R.				
8	Turner seconded. Motion carried.				
9					
10	Nominating Committee Report				
11	B. Atwood thanked Alan Quakenbush and Bob Werneke for participating on the Nominating Committee.				
12	He offered special thanks to Nancy Chartrand for taking the minutes. The Committee offered the				
13	following nominations were made:				
14	Julie Potter, East Montpelier, at-large member (past chair)				
15	Jerry D'Amico, Roxbury, at-large member				
16	Janet Shatney, Barre City, at-large member				
17	Darra Torre, Moretown, Secretary				
18	Michael Gray, Woodbury, Treasurer				
19	Steve Lotspeich, Waterbury, Vice Chair				
20	Laura Hill-Eubanks, Northfield, Chair				
21					
22	Atwood noted his appreciation for the time and energy Julie Potter put into the role of Chair. She drilled				
23	down into subjects and did structural worked to help the RPC have greater transparency and more				
24	effective moving forward.				
25					
26	Potter reviewed the nomination process. The Nominating Committee presented the initial slate for				
27	Executive Committee this month. It will present the final slate in May and nominations will be taken				
28	from the floor. After that meeting, ballots will be sent to Commissioners. The results will be announced				
29	at the June meeting.				
30					
31	CVRPC Committees				
32	L. Hill-Eubanks announced the committee openings and reviewed the committee duties. She requested				
33	volunteers/nominations for the following committees:				
34	Project Review Committee: seeking 2 appointments				
35	Town Plan Review Committee: seeking 5 appointments and an alternate member				
36	Brownfields Advisory: seeking 1 alternate appointment				
37	Clean Water Advisory Committee: seeking 2 appointments				
38					
39	She asked that Commissioners sign up for any committees for which they had interest.				
40					
41	Meeting Minutes				

1 B. Werneke moved to approve the March 12, 2019 minutes; D. La Haye seconded. Motion carried. 2 3 **Reports** 4 Commissioners asked clarifying questions, noted typographical errors, and requested the meeting dates 5 for the Board of Commissioners and TAC meetings needed to be updated. 6 7 Waninger provided a brief update about the NADO Washington Policy conference. Research is 8 demonstrating the impact of substance use disorder on the workforce. Discussion at the conference 9 noted that overcoming substance use disorder can take repeated attempts and at least five years. 10 Currently, 30 days' treatment is provided. 11 12 Adjournment 13 D. La Haye moved to adjourn at 8:10 pm; A. Quackenbush seconded. Motion carried. 14 15 Respectfully submitted,

16

Clare Rock, Senior Planner