1	CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION						
2	Executive Committee						
3	DRAFT MINUTES						
4	July 6, 2020 Meeting						
5	Prese	Present:					
3	F 11€3€	Julie Potter	×	Laura Hill-Eubanks		Michael Gray	
	×	Dara Torre	<u></u>	Steve Lotspeich	<u>×</u>	Janet Shatney	
	×	Gerry D'Amico		oteve Lotopelon	_	Janet Snathey	
6		,					
7	Staff: Bonnie Waninger, Nancy Chartrand						
8	Guests: George Clain, Barre Town CVRPC Alternate						
9							
10	Call to Order						
11	Chair Hill-Eubanks called the meeting to order at 4:01 pm.						
12		_	_				
13	Adjustments to the Agenda						
14	None						
15	D. J. I.						
16	Public Comment						
17 18	None						
	Cont	up at / A ava a up a up t A upt	hovisation				
19 20	Contract/Agreement Authorization Hill-Eubanks directed the Committee to the information in the packet.						
21	11111-61	ubanks unected the co	illillittee to t	the information in the packe	ι.		
22	Depai	rtment of Public Safety	– FMPG 201	9 Amendment 2 - B. Waning	ger provided	additional information	
23	<u>Department of Public Safety – EMPG 2019 Amendment 2</u> - B. Waninger provided additional information as to the need for the amendment. She noted it was a simple amendment adjusting in kind match to be						
24	properly allocated in the salary and benefits line item and the indirect costs line item; which is how it						
25	was ir	ntended initially.					
26							
27	J. Shatney moved to approve having the Executive Director sign the grant agreement amendment; J.						
28	Potte	r seconded. Motion ca	rried.				
29							
30				xtension - Waninger advised		•	
31	included the proposed amendment as well as the original lease and subsequent extensions. The term						
32	for notification has been changed from one year to 90-days for this one-year extension as we requested.						
33		There was question regarding potential improvements to the building. It was noted this will be					
34	discus	ssed further as we app	roacn negoti	ation in the future.			
35 36	G D'	Amica moved to approv	ia havina tha	Executive Director sign the	leace ovtono	ion: I Shatney	
37		Amico movea to approv Ided. Motion carried.	e naving the	LACCULIVE DIFECTOR SIGHT THE	ieuse exteris	ion, i. shathey	
38	300011	aca. Modon carried.					

Hill-Eubanks also directed the Committee to the informational only contract amendments in the packet. Included was an addition of \$5,000 for the Working Communities Grant for use to address COVID-19. Waninger also advised that the Milone and McBroom addendum outlines a site that is being added to their approved Master Agreement, for two stormwater designs in East Calais.

Regional Plan Amendment

Hill-Eubanks directed the Committee to the information in the packet. Prior to COVID-19 the public hearing process was being initiated for an amendment to the current Regional Plan, pulling out the regional housing element due to numbers being based on the 2010 census; and to adjust the future land use map to better correspond with the regional land use planning designation. There was question as to whether this information has been presented to the entire Board. D. Torre confirmed it was presented to the entire Board; which was concurred by Hill-Eubanks. There had been discussion to have Mike Miller of the City of Montpelier participate in the public hearing to answer any questions raised regarding Montpelier's designation. S. Lotspeich advised he believed having Miller participate was a good idea. It was confirmed staff would try to have Miller present at the hearing. Waninger recommended the Commission initiate the hearing process and confirmed there are two hearings held as part of the process. It was requested that as part of the first hearing there be a short recap presentation similar to what was presented previously to the Board. It was confirmed that C. Rock would give the general presentation at the hearing. It was also confirmed that in February the Commission voted to move to the public hearing process, and hearings were scheduled, however, COVID-19 interrupted that process.

J. Potter moved to approve proceeding with the hearings to amend the Regional Plan. D. Torre seconded. Motion carried.

Retirement Benefit

Waninger updated the Committee of a retirement error that was alerted at the last meeting. The current Personnel Policy outlines that the retirement benefit is only for full-time employees. Waninger advised that three part-time employees are currently being paid this benefit outside of the Personnel Policy, as it was included in their employment offers in error. Waninger advised she would like a discussion as to how to address the benefits currently offered to existing staff. There was confirmation that these benefits start after 12 months of service. It was questioned that if this benefit was terminated for part-time staff, what would happen to the funds that had accrued. It was confirmed the employees would keep the funds. It was also confirmed the current employer contribution is 5% of employee's salary and that only the employer contributes to this type of plan, not the employee. It was further noted that the company now offers a 457B benefit that employees only contribute to; with no employer contribution.

J. Potter suggested grandfathering in those part-time employees who are currently receiving the benefit; and further advised it is not standard to make retirement benefits solely tied to full-time (40) hours. Lotspeich agreed and asked if the Personnel Policy should be amended to do so. Waninger advised that ideally an amendment would be completed, however, a motion could be passed to address until the policy can be amended, which would be an exception to the personnel policy. It was noted that a Personnel Policy review had been initiated prior to COVID-19, however that process is currently on hold. Discussion ensued as to how best to move forward with addressing the situation and if the

Personnel Policy Review Committee should restart. It was agreed to move forward with a resolution and then have the Personnel Policy Review Committee further discuss the issue when they resume meeting.

J. Potter made a motion to resolve to make an exception based on offers made to current part-time employees, which would allow part-time employees (24 hours/week minimum) to continue the benefit until the policy can be finalized to address the full-time status. G. D'Amico seconded. Motion carried.

FY21 Budget

Hill-Eubanks directed the Committee to the information in the packet. Waninger advised the proposed FY21 budget is based on ACCD input, current contracts, and expected State contract allocations. She advised she expects an adjustment will be needed when the State updates their budget in September, as currently the Legislature has only approved a 3-month budget for the State.

Waninger explained in more detail the alternate budget scenarios outlined in the packet and the potential implications of anticipated shortfalls that may arise out of expected budget adjustments at the State level. The four main areas that Regional Planning Commission directors anticipate adjustments are: a reduction in the state allocation of 20-25%; TPI in-kind match amended from 10% to 20%; Agency of Natural Resources could pause clean water efforts, which would reduce or eliminate multiple contracts; and agencies are signaling they would like RPC's to be involved in COVID-19 recovery and response activities, however, it is unknown where these funds would be underwritten, so there is potential the costs of providing these services may need to be partially funded by the RPCs.

She also reviewed grants and contracts that may serve to augment current revenues:

- VT DEC Clean Water Service Provider
- US EDA Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy
- Town of Middlesex Zoning Update
- VT Agency of Administration Coronavirus Relief Fund RPC Municipal Assistance

Discussion ensued about potential program and staffing changes that may need to occur as related to each budget scenario presented in the packet. It was noted that with regard to our Land Use Program and town assistance, we would honor current commitments, but may have to limit or delay future commitments. It was confirmed that ACCD is awaiting our organizational budget in order to issue our FY21 contract, and it is expected that they will include a caveat that based on State budgeting they reserve the right to reduce the award.

Waninger advised this scenario planning is done so we can start thinking about the "what ifs" before they need to be instituted in order to consider how to approach any necessary changes.

Lotspeich noted that many municipalities have used furloughs to address budgeting issues to date; and inquired if Waninger has looked at any furlough scenarios. She advised that we have currently done this with two staff who each chose to cut their hours by 8 hours/week.

 It was confirmed the budget presented for approval assumes normal flow of funds from ACCD and does not address any of the four scenarios outlined above. Waninger advised that page 25 in packet highlights contracts considered 'at risk' due to concern that the State may ultimately adjust their funding of those contracts. She noted the proposed budget is conservative (light on revenues, heavy on expenses) to allow for more flexibility in any necessary adjustments and reiterated that she expects a budget adjustment will be necessary based on State budgeting.

G. Clain inquired if the word "not" was missing on page 20, and Waninger confirmed it was and should read "Vtrans has not offered...." Clain also inquired if she has heard anything about consolidating RPCs. Waninger advised this does not appear to be part of current conversation due to the assistance RPCs provide to both municipalities and state agencies.

D. Torre noted if State agencies cut their own staff that they may need to rely on RPCs more to assist with their work. Waninger confirmed that traditionally when state funding is cut, they outsource to contractors and RPCs so this could be a potential scenario.

With regard to a question raised about timeframe, Waninger advised she anticipates that 4-6 weeks after Legislature passes a 9-month budget, we will know from State agencies what their plans are. Therefore, it is likely we will need to adjust our budget by early November.

There was question as to how much control ACCD has over how we use our funds. Waninger advised that for the most part, it is up to the Commission, however, there are specific tasks that must be completed as part of the contract, and some of these items may need to be renegotiated with any funding change.

G. D'Amico moved to adopt the CVRPC FY21 budget; J. Potter seconded. D'Amico questioned why it was being approved now when we are already in FY21; Waninger advised it was delayed pending more certain information. He also inquired if the Board needed to adopt or just Executive Committee. It was confirmed just the Committee and a presentation would be made to the Board on the approved budget. Torre asked when we would see FY20 financials and if they have bearing on the budget. Waninger advised they do have bearing on the budget, and that she anticipates seeing 6/30/20 financials right before audit due to continuing to catch up on invoices. Based on what is anticipated for invoicing, she is comfortable with the budget presented. A vote was called. Motion carried.

Waninger advised the work program is to focus on what we have committed to until we know what funding cuts may end up being with the State's 9-month budget. She advised that strategic goals revolve around financial stability and keeping staff morale intact while addressing potential shortfalls and providing as much service as possible.

Lotspeich left the meeting at 5:35 pm. Quorum was still present.

Consent Items

Hill-Eubanks directed the Committee to the information in the packet. No comments provided.

1	J. Shatney moved to approve the consent items including the minutes; D. Torre seconded. Motion
2	carried.
3	
4	Commission Meeting Agenda
5	Hill-Eubanks directed the Committee to the information in the packet. No questions from Committee on
6	the agenda as presented. Waninger inquired as to how much budget scenario information should be
7	presented at the Board meeting. There was consensus she advise she has several scenarios that have
8	been thought through and shared with the Executive Committee, however, there is too much unknown
9	information at this time to go into it further with the Board until more is known on the upcoming State
10	budget.
11	
12	Hill-Eubanks raised question as to whether we would continue to hold remote meetings for the Board as
13	she still is not comfortable trying to meet in person. Waninger advised she could include information
14	about this in her Staff Report.
15	
16	J. Shatney moved to approve the Board Meeting agenda as presented; J. Potter seconded. Motion
17	carried.
18	
19	Adjourn
20	G. D'Amico moved to adjourn at 5:46 pm; J. Shatney seconded. Motion carried.
21	
22	Respectfully submitted,
23	
24	Nancy Chartrand
25	Office Manager