| 1 2 3 4 5 | CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS Draft MINUTES March 9, 2021 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 7 | | missioners: Barre City Barre Town Berlin Cabot Calais Duxbury E. Montpelier Fayston Marshfield Middlesex Montpelier | Janet Shatney Heather Grandfield, Alt. Byron Atwood George Clain, Alt Robert Wernecke Karla Nuissl, Alt. Amy Hornblas John Brabant Jan Ohlsson, Alt. Alan Quackenbush Julie Potter Clarice Cutler, Alt. Russ Bowen Robin Schunk Ron Krauth Marcella Dent Mike Miller, Alt. | | Moretown Northfield Orange Plainfield Roxbury Waitsfield Warren Washington Waterbury Williamstown Woodbury Worcester | Dara Torre, Secretary/Treasurer Joyce Manchester, Alt Laura Hill-Eubanks, Chair Lee Cattaneo Paula Emery Bob Atchinson, Alt. Gerry D'Amico Don La Haye Harrison Snapp, Alt. J. Michael Bridgewater, Alt. Peter Carbee Steve Lotspeich, Vice-Chair Richard Turner Jacqueline Higgins, Alt. Michael Gray Bill Arrand |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Staff: Bonnie Waninger, Nancy Chartrand, Zach Maia, Clare Rock Guests: Kate Forrer, Vermont Urban & Community Forestry Council Call to Order Chair Hill-Eubanks called the meeting to order at 6:31 pm and conducted a roll call. Quorum was present. Adjustments to the Agenda None Public Comments None Town Forest Recreation Planning Toolkit Hill-Eubanks introduced Kate Forrer of the Vermont Urban and Community Forestry Council (UCF). Forrer advised they have been supporting towns in planning for recreation, conservation and management in town forests. She noted that town forests are often managed by volunteers. To assist municipalities they have developed a process for developing a Town Forest Recreation Plan through the | | | | | |

- 1 Vermont Town Forest Recreation Planning Initiative. Marshfield and Woodbury were two of the pilot
- 2 communities that participated in this planning process. She provided an outline of the process UCF
- 3 developed in collaboration with SE Group. Each community in the pilot program had a local steering
- 4 committee to steer and guide the process.
- 5 The process is outlined in the Toolkit available online (https://vtcommunityforestry.org/places/town-
- 6 forests/recreation-planning-initiative/recreation-planning-toolkit); which Kate walked the Board
- 7 through a portion of. She noted that there is a Step-by-Step Planning Process and Individual Pods to
- 8 use. The different pods provide such tools as Town Forest Survey Template, Volunteer Trail Use
- 9 Counting Forms, Natural Resource Guidelines, Planning Matrix for natural resources, Town Forest
- 10 Recreation Plan Template, and Trail Design Guide to name a few.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The floor was opened to questions and discussion. There was discussion regarding the conflict that can occur in town forest planning between recreation and conservation and the difficulty of finding the appropriate balance. Forrer noted there is no perfect answer for all communities. The following resource was suggested in the GoToMeeting chat: contact Wildlife Biologist Susan Morse and link shared https://keepingtrack.org/sue-morse-backgrounder. Forrer also suggested the University of New Hampshire has done a lot of work on impacts of recreation use and that County Foresters are another excellent resource to work with communities. There was also discussion regarding the tension between recreation and forest management. Forrer noted that the toolkit is designed around recreation, but now they need to address how a recreation plan intersects with a forest management plan; which they will be revising the toolkit to address. There was also confirmation that the planning process UCF

242526

27

28

29

Gray of Woodbury advised that their town went through the process with SE Group for their town fForest which was donated in the 1950's for timber rights. The process involved a lot of community participation which resulted in a decision to conserve the town forest with an identified use of walking/interpretive trails with snowmobile trail use in the winter. He said the SE Group was great and that it was a well thought out and enjoyable process for the community.

outlines is focused on bringing together different groups to work collectively through the steering

committee - a temporary group of people who come together to guide the process of planning for

30 31 32

33

34

35

The topic of funding was raised and it was noted that the Implementation Pod in the toolkit lists grant opportunities and fund raising information; and also that VOREC Vermont Outdoor Recreation Economy Collaborative (VOREC) may also be a resource for funding. Forrer shared her email Katherine.forrer@uvm.edu and noted she is happy to discuss opportunities with municipalities. Hill-Eubanks thanked Forrer for her presentation.

363738

Regional Economic Priority List

recreation in the town forests.

- 39 Hill-Eubanks directed the Board to the information in the packet. She advised that Central Vermont
- 40 Economic Development Corporation (CVEDC) has asked that two to three CVRPC Board members join
- 41 their Review Committee for prioritizing economic development projects in the region. Waninger
- 42 confirmed the projects have to be economic focused: job retention, workforce development,
- 43 infrastructure that allows further development of private businesses. Waninger explained CVEDC
- advised they will not need to provide the prioritized list until December as the state is looking for a more

robust engagement process this year to solicit and then prioritize projects. CVEDC is hoping to do focus groups with municipalities, private sector, and non-profits to identify projects. The Committee is expected to be made up of three CVEDC Board Members, three CVRPC representatives, representatives from municipal governments, and representatives from businesses.

Hill-Eubanks advised we are looking for two or three members to serve on this committee. Paula Emery and Peter Carbee both volunteered. There was a request for a motion to appoint Emery and Carbee to join CVEDC's project priority review committee.

M. Gray moved the motion, seconded by J. Potter. Motion carried.

Meeting Minutes – February 9, 2021

Hill-Eubanks directed the Board to the information in the packet.

P. Carbee moved to approve the minutes; R. Turner seconded. Motion carried, with one abstention.

Reports

Hill-Eubanks directed the Board to the information in the packet. Waninger advised we have a new transportation planner, Christian Meyer, on board who is hosting a CVFiber meeting tonight on behalf of CVRPC. Gray asked if the Board could have a short report at a future Board Meeting about CVFiber and how things are progressing there. Waninger advised she will try to coordinate; however, they meet on the same night as our Board. She did note they won some slots in the broadband auction. Carbee requested in the Chat that the Board receive updates from both CVFiber and ECFiber.

Hill-Eubanks asked about the recent Town Meeting cannabis legislation and how it intersects with municipal zoning regulations. Rock advised she is undertaking research ahead of the March 31st Planning & Zoning Roundtable to be able to speak directly to this topic and a guest speaker will also participate to talk about youth substance abuse prevention, and considerations for municipalities to think about in their planning process and how it may intersect with zoning regulations.

Significant discussion ensued regarding helipads. Waninger advised Meyer can assist municipalities with this question and Rock advised that they will be doing outreach to municipalities with additional resources and guidance in the future.

Emery raised questions about Vermont Extreme Heat Research Project and Waninger advised Grace Vinson is our staff person on this project and could assist with details.

There was also a request for the Board to learn more about the Energy Action Network and VTrans pilot project as outlined in the staff report. Waninger advised we will have more information on this project soon.

Hill-Eubanks advised the letter related to the Berlin New Town Center was not in the packet. Rock provided a summary of the letter, noting the Regional Plan Committee met twice to review the Berlin New Town Center application. Their charge was to confirm the conformance of the application with the Regional Plan, to consider any comments CVRPC might want to give to Downtown Board in review of

application, and also to respond to Berlin's request to receive a letter of support from CVRPC. The Committee found that overall the application as proposed was in conformance with the broad planning goals outlined in Regional Plan. They also found that many Regional Plan policies supported Berlin's application. They also recognize that Berlin chooses not to propose new town center in an undeveloped area, but create to a downtown in an area currently defined as sprawl-like development; and taking on the challenge of sprawl repair is an ongoing incremental process.

Miller further advised the City of Montpelier has come out in favor of the New Town Center and provided them a letter of support. Waninger will email the CVRPC letter out to the Board following the meeting for comment/questions to be provided to the Chair.

Municipal Updates

Hill-Eubanks advised Clain had asked if he could talk about a solar project in Barre Town, however he has left the meeting. Barre Town is currently dealing with the Public Utilities Commission regarding the project in question. The agenda item "Municipal Updates" has been added to the end of the agenda as time allows to provide opportunity for members to share municipal information/news.

Potter shared East Montpelier's experience dealing with a 60 day notice that they received that AT&T for was going to file with the Public Utility Commission for permission to put in cell tower. It is a controversial project and the second one they have had to address. She noted their Planning Commission is being pushed to make an amendment to their Town Plan to address cell towers, which is not a statutory requirement of the plan. She wanted members to be aware that there is a public advocacy/legal group at the Department of Public Service that can be helpful in navigating this type of issue and assisting towns to explain the process to community members at public meetings. Hill-Eubanks suggested having Public Utilities Commission or Department of Public Service come to a future Board meeting to inform members on the process.

Krauth requested having someone from Utilities come in the future to explain what transmission systems are going to be needed to connect infrastructure for renewable energy (cell towers, windmills, underground cables, etc.) and address environmental problems that might arise.

Adjournment

D. La Haye moved to adjourn at 8:07 pm; R. Krauth seconded. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Nancy Chartrand, Office Manager