1	CENTRAL VERMONT REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION					
2	BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS					
3	MINUTES					
4	April 13, 2021					
5	· .p ==, ===					
6	Commission	ners:				
7						
		Barre City	Janet Shatney	×	Moretown	Dara Torre, Secretary/Treasurer
			Heather Grandfield, Alt.			Joyce Manchester, Alt
	×	Barre Town	Byron Atwood	×	Northfield	Laura Hill-Eubanks, Chair
	×		George Clain, Alt	×	Orange	Lee Cattaneo
	×	Berlin	Robert Wernecke		Plainfield	Paula Emery
			Karla Nuissl, Alt.	×		Bob Atchinson, Alt.
	×	Cabot	Amy Hornblas		Roxbury	Gerry D'Amico
		Calais	John Brabant	×	Waitsfield	Don La Haye
			Jan Ohlsson, Alt.			Harrison Snapp, Alt.
	×	Duxbury	Alan Quackenbush		Warren	VACANT
	×	E. Montpelier	Julie Potter			J. Michael Bridgewater, Alt.
			Clarice Cutler, Alt.	×	Washington	Peter Carbee
		Fayston	Russ Bowen	×	Waterbury	Steve Lotspeich, Vice-Chair
	×	Marshfield	Robin Schunk	×	Williamstown	Richard Turner
	×	Middlesex	Ron Krauth			Jacqueline Higgins, Alt.
	X E	Montpelier	Marcella Dent	×	Woodbury	Michael Gray
0	×		Mike Miller, Alt.	×	Worcester	Bill Arrand
8	Ctaff, Danni	a Maninaan Na	and Chamburgad Jack Main			
9 10	Staff: Bonnie Waninger, Nancy Chartrand, Zach Maia Guests: Jamie Stewart, Central VT Economic Development Corporation; Ken Jones, VT Dept. of Economic					
11	Development					
12	Developine	iii.				
	Call to Ord	lor				
13	Call to Order Chair Hill-Eubanks called the meeting to order at 6:32 pm and conducted a roll call. Quorum was					
14 15	present.					
	present.					
16	A dissature a wa	tatatha Asan	طم			
17 18	Adjustments to the Agenda Hill-Eubanks noted the speaker from CVEDC was not available yet, so moved on to Nominating					
19	Committee Report to be followed by CVEDC.					
	Committee Report to be followed by CVEDC.					
20	Dublic Comments					
21	Public Comments None					
22	None.					
23	Name = ±! =	ma Camanatta -	Donout			
24	Nominations Committee Report					
25	J. Potter provided an overview regarding the memorandum in the packet and the charge per the Bylaws					

of the Nominating Committee. She presented the draft slate of candidates for officers which proposes Steve Lotspeich for Chair, Gerry D'Amico for Vice-Chair, Janet Shatney for Secretary/Treasurer, and atlarge members of Laura Hill-Eubanks, Michael Gray, Lee Cattaneo, and Marcella Dent. It was noted that nominations from the floor will be accepted at the next Board meeting prior to a paper ballot being mailed to Board members for elections.

Central VT Economic Development Corporation Update

Jamie Stewart, Central VT Economic Development Corporation provided a brief update regarding the business community as they continue to address COVID factors and work on a Community Economic Development Strategy. He noted that they are finding a shortage of workforce a critical issue. There is some concern that some of the workforce may never return. CVEDC is hearing from businesses that are looking to reduce their footprint. He suggested that RPCs should be considering what kind of work they can do to support towns when office space may not be in demand as a result of remote work. He also noted that people coming into Vermont from cities due to the safer environment has had an impact on the real estate market, stating prices in the Mad River Valley have seen appreciation between 100-200% pre-COVID. This will mean that going forward a large issue resulting from the pandemic will be an increased need for the development of work force housing. He noted they are watching for what long-term impacts COVID will have on our communities, and what we will need to start doing now to prepare for that fallout and how we can increase the work force.

The floor was opened to questions. There was discussion regarding what extent not having children in school and child care throughout the pandemic is having on the workforce and if it will reappear once this is addressed. It was noted that there is a good understanding of the need to expand and improve quality of day care throughout the state as well as federally. Let's Grow Kids and Regional Development Corporations statewide are collaborating on a three-year plan of a much more robust day care system with public sector support.

Also discussed was the status of industrial parks in the region. Stewart noted they are not seeing a significant amount of industrial space vacant. What is available tend to be small options. He also noted that construction costs have significantly increased and will likely put a damper on commercial development, resulting in a high demand on existing spaces.

There was discussion on broadband and its critical need for schools as well as cell phone and internet service being critical to businesses coming into the state. Stewart noted there will be a focus on Communications Union Districts being funded and coverage will build out from there, but funds are not likely going to go to the large carriers. Ken Jones of CVFiber noted that currently Consolidated Communications is installing cable in areas where Comcast has service to compete. He noted that CVFiber will be partnering with Washington Electric to drop connections from their lines to homes in areas that are not served and are projecting service for the Washington Electric area with fiber by the end of 2024.

Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy

43 Zach Maia provided a brief overview of the West Central Vermont Comprehensive Economic

Development Strategy (CEDS). A CEDS is a planning process and document approved by the US

Economic Development Administration. It is to identify strengths and weaknesses in a region and engage a diverse set of stakeholders to generate good jobs, diversify the economy, and spur economic growth. Implementation of a plan provides opportunity for regional organizations and municipalities to access federal funding if projects align with priorities within the CEDS.

Maia described the West Central Vermont CEDS region as 4 regional planning commissions and economic development corporations- Central Vermont, Rutland, Addison, and Chittenden. To date they have formed a Strategy Committee to guide the development of the planning process. They are compiling background data, conducting region analyses including a regional profile and SWOTs (strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats), and determining COVID's impact on the economy. All this work will evolve into stakeholder engagement this summer (businesses, municipalities, community members, etc.). The work will be summarized into a draft CEDS document to enable access the federal funding.

COVID-19 and the Vermont Economy

Ken Jones, VT Dept. of Economic Development, provided an analysis of the COVID impact on the regional economy. Jones provided a presentation on the Washington County economy and COVID. He noted that Washington County has a strong relationship to Chittenden County as there is a lot of commuter movement between the two counties. What happens outside of Washington County is as important as what happens within the county itself. He also noted that the national economy drives many of the variables in the Vermont economy, i.e. consumer confidence, industrial productivity and demand, cost of and access to capital, COVID impacts and recovery programs.

Jones provided details about the county's overall GDP, Wages, Income, Property Value and Population and how Washington County compares to the entire state. Additional detail was provided on the impact of COVID in 2020 to lodging/accommodations, retail sales, construction, manufacturing, and household incomes.

It was noted that housing sales to out of state buyers has accelerated significantly (approximately \$600 million of additional money coming into the state from out of state purchases) than prior to 2020. This makes it very difficult for Vermonters to compete in the housing market.

Jones discussed recovery programs, which include water and wastewater, broadband, housing, capital projects, outdoor recreation, education, municipal support as well as additional business support. It was noted there is going to be a significant investment in many of our economic sectors which will keep us very busy and hopefully establish a strong foundation for recovery.

The takeaway from this presentation is that general impacts are not negative, but the general impacts do not tell the stories of individuals and/or businesses that were harmed. Emerging from the pandemic has huge uncertainties specifically related to remote work, retail sales shifts, and the federal deficit.

The floor was opened to questions. Discussed was the statistic of no significant lost wages in Washington County. It was suggested that there is a concentration of business sectors that did not see a significant impact from COVID in the county. There was also additional discussion of the potential tax

implications of property values when neighboring properties are being sold at high rates. Jones advised that the equalized grand list should help to address this.

There was question about the employees who have dropped out of the work force and expectations regarding their return. Jones noted the challenge in getting childcare is keeping people from re-entering the work force; as is fear of COVID. He also noted the unemployment insurance (UI) benefit is significant right now. People are receiving more money on UI than when they were working. It was also suggested that retirements may also be accelerated as a result of COVID. Based on all these factors, the recovery of the workforce may not be complete.

There was discussion regarding certain sectors of economy and women being hit harder during the pandemic. It was noted there has been an increase in number of families seeking assistance due to COVID. Hope was expressed that the state is paying attention to ensure individuals who were harmed are getting the assistance that they need.

Jones was asked whether he sees a negative side to funding of recovery programs through federal deficit. He noted what he has heard is that at some point there will be a reckoning of the cost of capital, but economists have been saying this for decades. During the last 16 months there have been unprecedented levels of deficit spending and at some point there will be a reckoning, which will hopefully be delayed for a while.

Meeting Minutes – March 9, 2021

R. Turner moved to approve the minutes as written; P. Carbee seconded. Motion carried.

Reports

Hill-Eubanks asked staff if they had anything to highlight. Waninger advised Berlin was granted its new Town Center designation which includes a number of conditions. She will provide a summary in the next Board meeting packet.

She also advised that staff is calling all municipalities to talk about American Rescue Plan (ARPA) as municipalities will be eligible for federal recovery money. She also noted an email has gone out to Selectboards with the estimates of the amount of money to be received.

Waninger announced that Zach Maia has tendered his resignation. Maia thanked the Board and conveyed his appreciation to the towns represented. He advised he will be a Development Planner with the Town of Colchester. It was noted that we will be very sad to see him go and will be advertising the position shortly. Hill-Eubanks thanked Maia for his work and wished him good luck.

Municipal Updates

Hill-Eubanks opened the floor to members to share information from their towns.

Clain advised of a Barre Town experience related to a solar site proposed by Norwich Solar in which the final project was ultimately different from what was proposed to the town in their 45-day Notice. It was noted the map presented to Public Utilities Commission was a completely different map. This caused

concern in transparency of the process. He wanted to alert all municipalities to follow these types of projects through to completion to ensure they are within the bounds as presented. Waninger provided some background on preferred sites and noted that these types of projects should be monitored throughout the development process. It was confirmed that the project involved was the Grandview Terrace site in Barre Town, completed in 2020. Atchinson of Plainfield advised he was aware of a project that Twinfield Union and Danville School District had on Route 14 South and was pleased it was not the project that was at issue.

8 9

Adjournment

10 D. La Haye moved to adjourn at 8:15 pm; B. Atchison seconded. Motion carried.

11

- 12 Respectfully submitted,
- 13 Nancy Chartrand, Office Manager