



Natural Resources Conservation Service
DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR)
Emergency Watershed Protection Program – Recovery

Section 1A

Date of report _____
DSR number _____
Project number _____

NRCS Entry Only	
Eligible:	Yes No
Approved:	Yes No
Funding priority number (from sect. 4)	_____
Limited Resource Area:	Yes No
1	Major disaster declaration
2	Emergency declaration
3	Fire management assistance declaration
4	Local declaration

Section 1B - Sponsor Information

Sponsor Name: _____
Address: _____
City/State/Zip: _____
Telephone Number _____ Fax: _____

Section 1C - Site Location Information

County: _____ State: _____ Congressional District: _____
Latitude: _____ Longitude: _____ UTM Coordinates: _____
Drainage name: _____ Site name: _____
Reach: _____
Damage description: _____

Section 1D - Site Evaluation

All answers in this section must be YES to be eligible for EWP assistance.

Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster? ¹			
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention? ¹			
Threat to life and/or property?			
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed? ¹			
Imminent threat was created by this event? ²			
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within 10 years? ²			
Site Defensibility			
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action? (See completed NRCS-CPA-52 and sections 3 and 4 of DSR. ³)			
Proposed action technically viable? (See section 6. ³)			

¹ Statutory

² Regulation

³ The completed DSR and Form NRCS-CPA-52, "Environmental Evaluation Worksheet," are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES NO

Comments:

Section 1E - Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative (same as NRCS CPA-52, boxes M and G)

Total installation cost identified in this DSR from section 6:

NRCS 75% cost-share:

Sponsor 25% cost-share:

Section 1F - NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed by:

Date reviewed

State EWP Program Manager

Approved by:

Date approved

State Conservationist

DSR NO:

Section 2 - Environmental Evaluation and Special Environmental Concerns

See attached NRCS-CPA-52, Environmental Evaluation Worksheet

Section 3 - Economic Considerations

This section must be completed for each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Completed by: _____ Date: _____

DSR NO:**Section 4 - Social Consideration****This section must be completed for each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).**

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?			
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?			
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?			
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)			
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?			

Completed by: _____ Date: _____

DSR NO:**Section 5 - Group Representation Information****This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.**

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	
Total Group	

Census tract(s) _____

Completed by: _____ Date: _____

DSR NO:

Section 6 - Engineering Cost Estimate

This section must be completed for each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Unit Abbreviations

UNIT OF MEASURE	
AC	acre
CY	cubic yard
EA	each
HR	hour
LF	linear feet
LS	lump sum
SF	square feet
SY	square yard
TN	ton
Other (specify)	

Completed by: _____ Date: _____

DSR NO:**Section 7 - NRCS EWP Funding Priority**

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 9).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifier
1. Is this an exigency situation?				
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?				
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?				
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?				
The following are modifiers for the above criteria				Modifier
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
e. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?				
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				

Enter priority computation in section 1A, “NRCS Entry Only” box, in “Funding priority number.”

Remarks:

DSR NO:**Section 8 - Findings**

Enter NEPA compliance finding from section Q of the NRCS-CPA-52.

The DSR was reviewed with the sponsors. Yes No

NRCS representative of the DSR team: _____

Title: _____ Date: _____

Section 9 - Attachments:

- A. Location map
- B. Site plan or sketches
- C. NRCS-CPA-52, Environmental Evaluation Worksheet
- D. Other (explain)

Instructions for Completing the NRCS-PDM-20, DSR

-	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes
Section 1	Enter Site Sponsor, Location, Evaluation, Selected Alternative, and Reviewed and Approval Signatures.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor except for NRCS-only portion of section 1A.
1A	Enter the Date, DSR Number, and Project Number. For NRCS only enter Eligible Yes/No, Approved Yes/No, Funding Priority Number, and Limited Resource Area Yes/No.	
1B	Enter Sponsor Name, Address, Telephone, Fax	
1C	Enter site location County, State, Congressional District, Latitude, Longitude, Section, Township, Range, UTM Coordinates, Drainage name, Reach within drainage, and Damage description.	
1D	Enter Yes/No and any Remarks for the Site Evaluation information. Any No response means the site is not eligible for EWP assistance and no further information is necessary to complete the DSR. (See NEWPPM 390-502.03 and 390-502-04) Enter Yes/No regarding whether the affected public has been informed of the EWP program.	
1E	Enter the proposed treatment and the cost of installation.	NRCS only.
1F	NRCS Review and Approval.	
Section 2	Attach NRCS-CPA 52 that addresses environmental evaluation and special environmental concerns	NRCS only.
Section 3	<p>Identify Property protected both private and public, business losses and other economic impacts considered for each alternative. Enter the dollar value of the potential future damages if no action is taken in the Future Damage (5) column. This would be the estimate of the value lost if the EWP recovery measure is not installed. Use the repair cost or damage dollar method to determine the estimate of future damages. The repair cost method uses the costs to return the impaired property, good, or services based on their original prevent condition or value. The damage dollar method uses an estimate of the future damage to value (e.g., if the structure is condemned, then enter the value of the structure). Enter the estimated amount based upon existing information or information furnished by the sponsor, contractors, or others with specific knowledge for recovery from natural disasters for each alternative considered. Often market values for properties or services can be obtained from personnel at the local county/parish tax assessment office.</p> <p>The DSR team needs to determine the Damage Factor (%) which is a coefficient that indicates the degree of damage reduction to a property that is attributed to the effect of the proposed EWP recovery measures. Use an appropriate estimate of how much of the damage the EWP recovery measure will avoid for the alternative being considered. If the recovery measures from a single site will prevent 100 percent of the damage use 100 percent. The Near Term Damage Reduction is the Future Damage (\$) times the Damage Factor (%). Sum the Near Term Damage Reduction values to calculate the Total Near Term Damage Reduction. Enter the Net Benefit which is computed by subtracting the Cost from Section 6 from the total near term damage reduction. The</p>	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.

-	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes
	economic section must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary.	
Section 4	<p>Enter information to describe the potential social impacts and considerations for each alternative. Answer Yes or No and any remarks necessary to adequately address each question. The information may be obtained through interviews with community leaders, government officials or sponsors.</p> <p>Factors such as road closures, loss of water, electricity, access to emergency services are used when answering whether the community as a whole has been impaired.</p> <p>This information is part of the environmental evaluation (NRCS-CPA-52) but may be pertinent in section 7 regarding funding priorities. The Social Considerations section must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary.</p>	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.
Section 5	Enter the Group Representation for the preferred alternative. Use the most recent census tract information based upon where the EWP recovery measures are located.	NRCS completes using most recent U.S. Census data.
Section 6	<p>Enter Proposed Recovery Measure(s) including Quantity, Units, Unit Cost, and Total Amount Cost.</p> <p>Enter sum of all Proposed Recovery Measure Costs to calculate Total Costs. Enter Total Installation Costs in Section 1E. The Engineering Cost Estimate must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary.</p>	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.
Section 7	This section is used to determine the Funding Priority for the preferred alternative and sequence for initiating recovery measures. Enter Yes/No for questions 1 through 4 and enter the number (exigency 1, serious threat to human life 2, etc.) in the right column, Ranking Number Plus Modifier. Complete the Modifier portion by placing the alphabetic indicator a through f in the Modifier column. Complete the Ranking Number Plus Modifier column by entering the alphabetic indicator(s) that exists within the site. The number of the site designates the priority (e.g., a site with a designation of 2 is a higher priority than a site with a designation of 3). The modifiers increase the priority for the same numeric site (e.g., a site with a designation of 1a, would be a higher priority than a site with a designation of 1, a site with a designation of 2bc would be a higher priority than a site designated as 2b). Enter the Funding Priority in Section 1A.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.
Section 8	Insert the number of the Finding that was checked in section Q of the NRCS-CPA-52. If action is required to meet NEPA requirements, state whether an EA or EIS will be prepared or adopted."	NRCS only.
Section 9	Include attachments for location map, site sketch or plan, a completed NRCS-CPA-52, Environmental Evaluation Worksheet, and other information as needed.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service		 United States Department of Agriculture	NRCS-CPA-52 04/2023																																																
ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION WORKSHEET																																																			
D. Client's Objective(s) (purpose): To stabilize an actively eroding stretch of Great Brook that is threatening infrastructure along Brook Road in the Town of Plainfield.		A. Client Name: Town of Plainfield B. Conservation Plan ID # (as applicable): 50 01 24 5042 017-130 Program Authority (optional): EWP C. Identification # (farm, tract, field #, etc. as required): 99 Brook Road, Plainfield, VT																																																	
E. Need for Action: To address the resource concerns identified in Section F by stabilizing actively eroding streambanks and protecting infrastructure.	H. Alternatives <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33.33%;">No Action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if RMS</td> <td style="width: 33.33%;">Alternative 1 <input type="checkbox"/> if RMS</td> <td style="width: 33.33%;">Alternative 2 <input type="checkbox"/> if RMS</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Streambank will continue to erode, further threatening infrastructure.</td> <td>580 (Streambank & Shoreline Protection). Rock riprap will be installed to stabilize the streambank.</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			No Action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if RMS	Alternative 1 <input type="checkbox"/> if RMS	Alternative 2 <input type="checkbox"/> if RMS	Streambank will continue to erode, further threatening infrastructure.	580 (Streambank & Shoreline Protection). Rock riprap will be installed to stabilize the streambank.																																											
No Action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if RMS	Alternative 1 <input type="checkbox"/> if RMS	Alternative 2 <input type="checkbox"/> if RMS																																																	
Streambank will continue to erode, further threatening infrastructure.	580 (Streambank & Shoreline Protection). Rock riprap will be installed to stabilize the streambank.																																																		
Resource Concerns																																																			
In Section "F" below, analyze, record, and address concerns identified through the Resources Inventory process. (See FOTG Section III - Resource Planning Criteria for guidance).																																																			
F. Resource Concerns and Existing/ Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified concern)	I. Effects of Alternatives <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">No Action</th> <th colspan="2">Alternative 1</th> <th colspan="2">Alternative 2</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)</th> <th><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC</th> <th>Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)</th> <th><input type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC</th> <th>Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)</th> <th><input type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels</td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td>Banks will be stabilized with structural measures (rock riprap), protecting against further erosion and threats to infrastructure.</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Banks are NOT stable and NOT protected by roots of natural vegetation, wood, or rock or a combination of materials.</td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> <td></td> <td><input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2		Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC	Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Banks will be stabilized with structural measures (rock riprap), protecting against further erosion and threats to infrastructure.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Banks are NOT stable and NOT protected by roots of natural vegetation, wood, or rock or a combination of materials.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																										
	No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2																																														
Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	<input type="checkbox"/> if does NOT meet PC																																														
Bank erosion from streams, shorelines or water conveyance channels	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Banks will be stabilized with structural measures (rock riprap), protecting against further erosion and threats to infrastructure.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
Banks are NOT stable and NOT protected by roots of natural vegetation, wood, or rock or a combination of materials.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
SOIL																																																			
Sediment transported to surface water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Sediment will continue to enter the surface water from the eroding streambank.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Sediment loss from the streambank will be significantly reduced.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
Excessive sediment entering the surface water from bank failure.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
WATER																																																			
Sediment transported to surface water	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Sediment will continue to enter the surface water from the eroding streambank.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Sediment loss from the streambank will be significantly reduced.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
Excessive sediment entering the surface water from bank failure.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														
	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC																																														

F. Resource Concerns and Existing/ Benchmark Conditions (Analyze and record the existing/benchmark conditions for each identified concern)	I. (continued)						
	No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2		
	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	✓ if does NOT meet PC	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	✓ if does NOT meet PC	Amount, Status, Description (Document both short and long term impacts)	✓ if does NOT meet PC	
AIR							
No resource concern identified	No Effects	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	No Effects	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
PLANTS							
No resource concern identified	No Effects	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	No Effects	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
ANIMALS							
Aquatic habitat for fish and other organisms	Excessive sediment from continued erosion of the streambank degrades aquatic habitat.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	Impacts to aquatic habitat due to sediment loss will be decreased with bank stabilization.	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
Current level of sedimentation reduces the quality of aquatic habitat.		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
ENERGY							
No resource concern identified	No Effects	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	No Effects	<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC		<input type="checkbox"/> NOT meet PC	
Human Economic and Social Considerations							
Risk	Increased risk with actively eroding streambank.		Decrease risk associated with stable streambank.				
Infrastructure at risk.							
Labor	Town crew time will be required to repair damage.		Bank will be stabilized decreasing town labor in the future.				
Town labor time.							
Capital	Town will have to cover cost of continued maintenance and repair		Bank will be stabilized minimizing costs of maintenance and repair.				
Town costs.							

Special Environmental Concerns: Environmental Laws, Executive Orders, policies, etc.

In Section "G" complete and attach Environmental Procedures Guide Sheets for documentation as applicable. Items with a "•" may require a federal permit or consultation/coordination between the lead agency and another government agency. In these cases, effects may need to be determined in consultation with another agency. Planning and practice implementation may proceed for practices not involved in consultation.

G. Special Environmental Concerns (Document existing/benchmark conditions)	J. Impacts to Special Environmental Concerns					
	No Action		Alternative 1		Alternative 2	
	Document all impacts (Attach Guide Sheets as applicable)	✓ if needs further action	Document all impacts (Attach Guide Sheets as applicable)	✓ if needs further action	Document all impacts (Attach Guide Sheets as applicable)	✓ if needs further action
•Clean Air Act Guide Sheet Clean Air Act: No Nonattainment or Maintenance areas designated for non-attainment of air quality standards AND there are no Class 1 areas nearby. Source: https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/interactive-map-air-quality-monitors	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
•Clean Water Act / Waters of the U.S. Guide Sheet Clean Water Act: Surface waters in the planning area are potential Waters of the US	May Effect Clean Water Act: Without NRCS assistance, continued erosion will increase sediment in stream.	<input type="checkbox"/>	May Effect Sediment in stream will be decreased as a result of stabilizing bank. Potential permitting consultation should occur with Army Corps of Engineers and State of Vermont, as applicable.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
•Coastal Zone Management Guide Sheet Coastal Zone Management Areas are not in or near the planning area.	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Coral Reefs Guide Sheet Coral Reefs or associated water bodies are not present in or near the planning area.	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
•Cultural Resources / Historic Properties Guide Sheet Cultural Resources or historic properties may be present in the Area of Potential Effect. See documentation in case file.	May Effect Cultural Resources may be present in the planning area. Chance for negative impacts exists with continued streambank erosion.	<input type="checkbox"/>	May Effect Cultural Resources evaluation to be conducted to determine impacts of planned practices.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
•Endangered and Threatened Species Guide Sheet E&T Species: Northern long-eared bat presence and habitat is statewide. Wood Turtle habitat mapped in project proximity. Based on: USFWS, VTFWS & VTDEC Datasets.	No Effect E&T Species: No Effect from client's actions without NRCS assistance.	<input type="checkbox"/>	May Effect E&T Species: Practices will be implemented in accordance with the Terms and Conditions and Reasonable and Prudent Measures of the Biological Opinion from USFWS & VTFWS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental Justice Guide Sheet Environmental Justice: 15 percentile people of color and 51 percentile low income in the planning area. Source: https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect Environmental Justice: No disproportionately high and adverse environmental or human health effect on a low-income population, minority population, or Indian Tribe will occur because no adverse environmental or human health effects are anticipated to result from planned practices.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
•Essential Fish Habitat Guide Sheet Essential Fish Habitat is not present in or downstream of the planning area. Source : https://www.habitat.noaa.gov/protection/efh/efhmapper/	No Effect Not applicable.	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect Not applicable.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Floodplain Management Guide Sheet Floodplain Mgmt: A 100-year floodplain is present in or near the planning area. Source: https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search & VT Natural Resource Atlas	No Effect Not applicable	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect Floodplain Mgmt: No increased flood hazard or other adverse effect to the existing natural and beneficial values of the floodplain or lands adjacent or downstream is likely.	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Invasive Species <i>Guide Sheet</i> Invasive species are not noted in the planning area.	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not applicable		No invasive species in area of potential impact - disturbance sites should be monitored			
•Migratory Birds/Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act <i>Guide Sheet</i> Migratory birds, bald or golden eagles habitat is not present in or near the planning area. Source: Field observations & https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not applicable		Migratory Birds: No take of any migratory bird, nest, or egg is expected to occur and planned practices will not take or disturb eagles.			
Natural Areas <i>Guide Sheet</i> Natural Areas: There are no designated natural areas present in or near the planning area. Source: https://fpr.vermont.gov/vermont-natural-areas	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not applicable		Not applicable			
Prime and Unique Farmlands <i>Guide Sheet</i> Prime or unique farmlands or farmlands of statewide or local importance are present in the planning area.	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not applicable		Prime/unique Farmlands: No conversion of farmland to nonagricultural use is planned.			
Riparian Area <i>Guide Sheet</i> Riparian areas are present along impacted surface waters in the planning area.	May Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	May Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Riparian Areas: Continuation of benchmark conditions will degrade/decrease water quality/water quantity/fish and wildlife benefits.		Riparian Areas: Practice(s) will maintain or improve water quality, water quantity, and fish and wildlife benefits provided by the riparian area(s).			
Scenic Beauty <i>Guide Sheet</i> Planning area is currently a residential development.	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Scenic Beauty: No change from benchmark conditions.		Scenic Beauty: Planned practice(s) are typical for the surrounding area and will blend into the scenic quality of the general landscape.			
•Wetlands <i>Guide Sheet</i> Wetlands are not present in the planning area. Source: Field determinations & Vermont State Wetland Inventory	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not applicable		Not applicable			
•Wild and Scenic Rivers <i>Guide Sheet</i> WildScenic Rivers: No Federal or State designated Wild, Scenic, or Recreational river segments or rivers listed in the Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) are present in or near the planning area. Source: https://www.rivers.gov/	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Effect	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not applicable		Not applicable			

K. Other Agencies and Broad Public Concerns Easements, Permissions, Public Review, or Permits Required and Agencies Consulted.	No Action	Alternative 1	Alternative 2																																		
Cumulative Effects Narrative (Describe the cumulative impacts considered, including past, present and known future actions regardless of who performed the actions)	None Required	Vermont Stream Alteration and Army Corps 404 permit are potentially needed, consultation should occur.																																			
L. Mitigation (Record actions to avoid, minimize, and compensate)	None Required	Stabilized streambank will protect infrastructure. Decreased sediment impacts to stream.																																			
M. Preferred Alternative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> preferred alternative <input type="checkbox"/> Supporting reason	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Selected alternative in anticipation of receiving EWP assistance. Will protect existing infrastructure and reduce sediment load to surface water.	<input type="checkbox"/>																																		
N. Context (Record context of alternatives analysis) The significance of an action must be analyzed in several contexts such as society as a whole (human, national), the affected region, the affected interests, and the locality.	Local Regional																																				
O. To the best of my knowledge, the data shown on this form is accurate and complete: In the case where a non-NRCS person (e.g. a TSP) assists with planning they are to sign the first signature block and then NRCS is to sign the second block to verify the information's accuracy.																																					
<input type="text"/> Signature (TSP if applicable)		<input type="text"/> Title Resource Conservationist	<input type="text"/> Date 12/20/2024																																		
<input type="text"/> Signature (NRCS)		<input type="text"/> Title	<input type="text"/> Date																																		
If preferred alternative is not a federal action where NRCS has control or responsibility and this NRCS-CPA-52 is shared with someone other than the client, then indicate to whom this is being provided.																																					
The following sections are to be completed by the Responsible Federal Official (RFO) NRCS is the RFO if the action is subject to NRCS control and responsibility (e.g., actions financed, funded, assisted, conducted, regulated, or approved by NRCS). These actions do not include situations in which NRCS is only providing technical assistance because NRCS cannot control what the client ultimately does with that assistance and situations where NRCS is making a technical determination (such as Farm Bill HEL or wetland determinations) not associated with the planning process.																																					
P. Determination of Significance or Extraordinary Circumstances To answer the questions below, consider the severity (intensity) of impacts in the contexts identified above. Impacts may be both beneficial and adverse. A significant effect may exist even if the Federal agency believes that on balance the effect will be beneficial. Significance cannot be avoided by terming an action temporary or by breaking it down into small component parts.																																					
If you answer ANY of the below questions "yes" then contact the State Environmental Liaison as there may be extraordinary circumstances and significance issues to consider and a site specific NEPA analysis may be required.																																					
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative expected to cause significant effects on public health or safety? </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative expected to significantly affect unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas? </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the effects of the preferred alternative on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial? </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the preferred alternative have highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human environment? </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the preferred alternative establish a precedent for future actions with significant impacts or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration? </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative known or reasonably expected to have potentially significant environment impacts to the quality of the human environment either individually or cumulatively over time? </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the preferred alternative likely have a significant adverse effect on ANY of the special environmental concerns? Use the Evaluation Procedure Guide Sheets to assist in this determination. This includes, but is not limited to, concerns such as cultural or historical resources, endangered and threatened species, environmental justice, wetlands, floodplains, coastal zones, coral reefs, essential fish habitat, wild and scenic rivers, clean air, riparian areas, natural areas, and invasive species. </td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td colspan="2"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the preferred alternative threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements for the protection of the environment? </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Yes	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative expected to cause significant effects on public health or safety? 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative expected to significantly affect unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas? 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the effects of the preferred alternative on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial? 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the preferred alternative have highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human environment? 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the preferred alternative establish a precedent for future actions with significant impacts or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration? 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative known or reasonably expected to have potentially significant environment impacts to the quality of the human environment either individually or cumulatively over time? 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the preferred alternative likely have a significant adverse effect on ANY of the special environmental concerns? Use the Evaluation Procedure Guide Sheets to assist in this determination. This includes, but is not limited to, concerns such as cultural or historical resources, endangered and threatened species, environmental justice, wetlands, floodplains, coastal zones, coral reefs, essential fish habitat, wild and scenic rivers, clean air, riparian areas, natural areas, and invasive species. 		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the preferred alternative threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements for the protection of the environment? 	
Yes	No																																				
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative expected to cause significant effects on public health or safety? 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative expected to significantly affect unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas? 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the effects of the preferred alternative on the quality of the human environment likely to be highly controversial? 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the preferred alternative have highly uncertain effects or involve unique or unknown risks on the human environment? 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the preferred alternative establish a precedent for future actions with significant impacts or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration? 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the preferred alternative known or reasonably expected to have potentially significant environment impacts to the quality of the human environment either individually or cumulatively over time? 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the preferred alternative likely have a significant adverse effect on ANY of the special environmental concerns? Use the Evaluation Procedure Guide Sheets to assist in this determination. This includes, but is not limited to, concerns such as cultural or historical resources, endangered and threatened species, environmental justice, wetlands, floodplains, coastal zones, coral reefs, essential fish habitat, wild and scenic rivers, clean air, riparian areas, natural areas, and invasive species. 																																			
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the preferred alternative threaten a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements for the protection of the environment? 																																			

Q. NEPA Compliance Finding (check one)

The preferred alternative:

Action required

<input type="checkbox"/>	1) is not a federal action where the agency has control or responsibility.	Document in "R.1" below. No additional analysis is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	2) is a federal action ALL of which is categorically excluded from further environmental analysis AND there are no extraordinary circumstances as identified in Section "O" .	Document in "R.2" below. No additional analysis is required
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3) is a federal action that has been sufficiently analyzed in an existing Agency state, regional, or national NEPA document and there are no predicted significant adverse environmental effects or extraordinary circumstances .	Document in "R.1" below. No additional analysis is required.
<input type="checkbox"/>	4) is a federal action that has been sufficiently analyzed in another Federal agency's NEPA document (EA or EIS) that addresses the proposed NRCS action and its' effects and has been formally adopted by NRCS . NRCS is required to prepare and publish its own Finding of No Significant Impact for an EA or Record of Decision for an EIS when adopting another agency's EA or EIS document. (Note: This box is not applicable to FSA)	Contact the State Environmental Liaison for list of NEPA documents formally adopted and available for tiering. Document in "R.1" below. No additional analysis is required
<input type="checkbox"/>	5) is a federal action that has NOT been sufficiently analyzed or may involve predicted significant adverse environmental effects or extraordinary circumstances and may require an EA or EIS.	Contact the State Environmental Liaison. Further NEPA analysis required.

R. Rationale Supporting the Finding

R.1 Findings Documentation	Emergency Watershed Protection Program, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement, April 2005
R.2 Applicable Categorical Exclusion(s) (more than one may apply)	7 CFR Part 650 Compliance With NEPA, subpart 650.6 <i>Categorical Exclusions</i> states prior to determining that a proposed action is categorically excluded under paragraph (d) of this section, the proposed action must meet six sideboard criteria. See NECH 610.116.

I have considered the effects of the alternatives on the Resource Concerns, Economic and Social Considerations, Special Environmental Concerns, and Extraordinary Circumstances as defined by Agency regulation and policy and based on that made the finding indicated above.

S. Signature of Responsible Federal Official:

Resource Conservationist

12/20/2024

Signature

Title

Date

Additional notes

50-01-2024-5042-017-130

November 8, 2024

Plainfield






11/8/24 11:33 AM



11/8/24 11:36 AM







NOTE: Elevation discrepancy from OPUS Correction. Survey points uncorrected and for Reference Only. Additional Survey Data will be needed.

Scale in Feet

PROJECT 5042-0117-130
EWP
99 BROOK ROAD
SURVEY

E
PLAINFIELD
File Name
DSR Number
5042-017-130
Sheet 1 of 1



File Name	PLAINFIELD EWP 5042 50-01-24-5042-017-130 DSR SKETCH PLAINFIELD	HAZARD CLASS SIGNIFICANT	USDA United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service	Date 12/19/2024
DSR Number	VERMONT	JOB CLASS VI	Designed Drawn Checked Approved by	M.JL
Sheet 1 of 1				



50-01-24-5042-017-130
Streambank Protection

VERMONT

HAZARD CLASS
Significant

JOB CLASS
VI



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Natural Resources
Conservation Service

Designed
Drawn
Checked
Approved by

DRAFT
12/24
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

Sheet
of
File Name
Drawing Name
Plainfield