Name	Comment	Status
VTrans	Recommends that the Transportation Map be amended to label limited access highways to facilitate precise location of these facilities. The Agency notes that it maintains a data layer of limited access highways on its website. (https://geodata.vermont.gov/datasets/VTrans::vt-limited-access-highways/explore?location=43.723687%2C72.855787%2C8)	Resolved.
VTrans	The plan is **missing** a map of bike and pedestrian facilities. The Agency recommends CVRPC use data collected via the Agency's Bicycle and Pedestrian Planning Integration Program and annual bike and pedestrian counts to develop a detailed map of current and planned infrastructure.	Resolved.
VTrans	The Agency recommends amending the Transportation Map (or including a standalone map) to depict designated scenic roads.	Resolved.
VTrans	The Transportation Map includes the Amtrak rail line and stations, but the freight rail line between Montpelier and Barre is **missing** from the map.	Resolved.
VTrans	We notice a few inconsistencies in the Future Land Use map regarding categorization of state highways and Interstate 89. Some of I-89 and state highways are categorized as special use, while other roads and trails have no categorization or are categorized to match the adjacent land use category. The Agency recommends a consistent approach across the region.	Resolved.
VTrans	Finally, the Agency recommends that railyards and rail sidings in the region be included in the enterprise land use category. Rail sidings, even if not currently used, can in the future be developed into rail-related enterprise land use functions.	Reviewed, no action taken.
VEM	The plan is compliant with most requirements of this section; it contains of wealth of maps and tables related to the infrastructure listed above with the only **exception being state office buildings.**	Resolved.
ANR	Wetlands: As noted for other regional plans, the Wetlands Program is updating the National Wetlands Inventory maps. This process is ongoing, and the region's draft maps were finalized. A draft of the map is viewable here: https://experience.arcgis.com/experience/c76d18185ede4acb8068fa352ea73c1e. More information about the Wetland Program's map update process is available here: https://dec.vermont.gov/watershed/wetlands/wetland-maps. The Region may want to consult the draft maps prior to adjusting FLUAs to better understand the current extent of mapped wetlands and development potential.	Resolved.
ANR	Appendix A: Maps – Many of the maps appear to have been created in 2007. The Region may want to check the layer sources to ensure the maps reflect the most up to date information. Many ANR layers are updated on a regular basis (especially DWA, wetlands, FEMA layers, BioFinder – Highest Priority Interior & Connectivity Blocks, and Bear habitat which is likely discontinued).	Resolved.
ANR	The Region may want to consider adding the river corridor layer on one of the Plan's maps, as well as ensure the FEMA layer reflects the most recent flood insurance rate maps.	Resolved.
ANR	RINAs: Rare and irreplaceable natural areas (RINAs) are not mentioned in the plan but may overlap resources identified as fragile areas. Many RINAs are mapped as significant natural communities on the ANR atlas and could be considered for inclusion in one of the natural resources maps and/or referenced in the text of the plan. **Also consider adding a new map (or on an existing map) ANR atlas layers that identify river corridors, vernal pools, and rare, threatened, and endangered species.**	Resolved.

Thomas Weiss	Comment 15.C. Two water based access and uses (Kingsbury Branch and Coburn Pond, both in East Montpelier) have not been added to the "Central Vermont Public Recreational Resources Map"	Water access points have been added to the Recreation map.
Thomas Weiss	Comment 15.D Some symbols in the legend do not match the symbols on the map.	Map has been updated.
Thomas Weiss	Comment 25.C. The maps do not show earth resource operations. The draft plan states "that we must accommodate them even as we guard against their more harmful aspects." It is hard to guard against their more harmful aspects if we do not know where they are	These are captured in Enterprise Areas of the Regional Future Land Use map.
Thomas Weiss	The five relevant maps in appendix A are used to show some of the items required by statute, as provided above. Other items are not shown and need to be shown: Also, some items are incorrectly identified. - Central Vermont Energy and Communication Map. The gas turbine plant in Berlin, the larger solar photovoltaic plants (perhaps those 500 kW or more), and the wind plants are not shown. - Central Vermont Water Supply & Wastewater Systems - Central Vermont Emergency Management. Health centers (e.g. Plainfield) and urgent care facilities probably should be shown in addition to hospitals.. - Central Vermont Educational Facilities. The map incorrectly identifies Roxbury's school district. It incorrectly identifies Northfield and Williamstown as belonging to different school districts. Goddard College no longer exists. It is not clear whether the property's present use still qualifies for it to be shown as a college / post secondary school. - Central Vermont Public Recreational Resources. See comment 15.C. State office buildings are not shown on any map. Neither are libraries	Maps have been updated.
Thomas Weiss	Comment 25.A. (A) The maps in appendix A of the draft plan appear to be missing the following elements: , vernal pools, rare and irreplaceable natural areas, river corridors, agriculture using the agricultural lands identification process established in 6 V.S.A. § 8, open spaces, areas reserved for flood plain, aquifer protection; wetland protection;	Maps have been updated.
Thomas Weiss	Review Montpelier PGA comments	Have been reviewed.
Carrie MacMillan	Stanley Hisson parcel in Waterbury Tier-1 eligible area floods and should be exlcuded	This parcel is included in the legacy Designated Downtown and per statute is assigned a Tier 1 eligible future land use class.
Thomas Weiss	Comment WD20.A. The map on page 39 shows multiple headwaters draining to basins other than the Winooski River basin. The drainages to other basins ought to be at least acknowledged in this subsection on watershed planning. I suggest providing a map with the watershed boundaries here with one color for the watersheds within the Winooski Basin and a second color for all the watersheds in the other basins.	Maps have been updated.
Thomas Weiss	Comment WD39.A I suggest placing the information on this map onto two maps and removing this map. - The watershed boundaries would be on a map on page 20. See that comment above. - River corridors and flood hazard areas belong on one map. Add the river corridors to the map on page 45	Maps have been updated.

Thomas Weiss	Comment WD45.A Showing both floodways and flood zones in the legend and on the map is not useful,because the scale of themap is too small. The two cannot be distinguished. I also suggest adding the river corridor information from the map on page 39, as suggested in that comment above. This combination might need three symbols in the legend. One symbol for reaches in which "both flood hazard areas and river corridors" have been mapped. A secondsymbol for reaches with "flood hazard areas only". And a third symbol for reaches with "river corridors only"	Maps have been updated.
Thomas Weiss	Comment WD52.B. Resource extraction areas are lumped in the enterprise future land use category, which is more than just resource extraction. It still seems really hard to guard against the more harmful aspects of mineral extraction if one cannot tell easily which enterprise areas are not used for resource extraction.Resource extraction needs its own map or its own symbols on some other map.	These are captured in Enterprise Areas of the Regional Future Land Use map.
Thomas Weiss	Comment WD152.A Limited access highway map. I am surprised that U. S. Route 2 from the Montpelier -Berlin town line to either of the East Montpelier - Barre Town lines (I'm not sure which is depicted) is shown as a limited access highway.	These routes are included in the following dataset produced by VTrans: https://geodata.vermont.gov/datasets/41505c7f29ea44ddb6dd2806f66d4c50_20/explore?location=44.216811%2C-72.483067%2C12
Thomas Weiss	Comment WD152.B. Limited access highway map. I acknowledge that the map is titled "Limited Access Highway" and it does show State highways. Vermont Route 215 is not shown. Vermont Route 25 is not shown (or maybe it is shown and the 0.3 miles in Orange does not show at this scale).	VT215 was inadvertently excluded from the maps. It has been added. The limited stretch of VT 25 in Orange is too short to label.
Thomas Weiss	Comment WD233.A. The colors used for the trails are indistinguishable from each other and from the major roads (even enlarged to 200% on a monitor).	Maps have been updated.
Alan Goldman	Alan Goldman called to discuss specific comments on related to a parcel number 118000. The driveway of the parcel is included in Rural General but the back 18 acres portion is Rural-Ag-Forestry. Mr. Goldman is requesting that the entire parcel be included in Rural-general to ensure the whole parcel is consistently classified and matches the surrounding parcel classifications.	Wil be considered during a future amendment.